TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (2-21)

STATE: AZ
APPLICATION YEAR: 2011

- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2011
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- NEW STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR THE 2011-2015 NEEDS ASSESSMENT PERIOD
 - O FORM 11 STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
 - O FORM 12 STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
 - O FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS

FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS FOR FY 2011 [Secs. 504 (d) and 505(a)(3)(4)]										
STATE: AZ										
1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for: A.Preventive and primary care for children:		\$	7,090,511							
\$ 2,348,502 (33.12%)										
B.Children with special health care needs: \$ 2,177,202 (30.71%) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the application)[Sec. 505(a)(3)] C.Title V admininstrative costs:										
\$455,418 (6.42 %) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]										
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)		\$	612,223							
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)		\$	7,734,184							
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)		\$	0							
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)		\$	31,969,534							
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)		\$	0							
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$12,056,360		\$	39,703,718							
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PARTNERSHIP (SU (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	IBTOTAL)	\$	47,406,452							
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the Title V program)										
a. SPRANS:	0									
b. SSDI: \$	93,713									
c. CISS:	0									
d. Abstinence Education:	0									
e. Healthy Start:	0									
f. EMSC: \$13	30,000									
g. WIC: \$	0									
h. AIDS:	0									
i. CDC: \$	0									
j. Education:	0									
k. Other:										
1ST TIME MOTHERHOC \$ 50	00,000									
CRS \$ 68,93	37,712									
FAMILY VIOLENCE \$ 1,86	68,628									
NGIT FASD-SAMHSA \$ 22	27,669									
ORAL HEALTH WORKFO \$38	34,092									
PROJECT LAUNCH \$ 90	00,000									
RAPE PREV ED \$ 64	40,456									
SEXUAL ASSAULT SVC \$ 28	32,410									
STATE INJURY SURVEI \$ 12	27,358									
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item 9)		\$	74,092,038							
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)		\$	121,498,490							

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Form2_Main Field Name: OtherFunds Row Name: Other Funds

Column Name: Year: 2011 Field Note:

These funds are state funds that match another grant, but can be used for the Title V MOE. They include Dental Sealant, donation, part of the Lottery for Teen pregnancy Prevention/Abstinence program and Children's Rehabilitative Services (CRS) funding. The state CRS funds remaining in the budget are for match to draw down the CRS Medicaid funds, all other state CRS funds were eliminated over the last year.

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: AZ

	FY 2	2006	FY 2	2007	FY 2	2008
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$7,769,858	\$6,569,134	\$	\$	\$	\$6,561,143
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$	\$ 1,745,974	\$ 2,861,375	\$ 685,986	\$ 1,618,200	\$ 235,291
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 13,262,434	\$ 13,666,097	\$ 13,032,329	\$ 17,239,432	\$ 16,879,160	\$ 16,517,313
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$ 20,187,058	\$ 21,875,024	\$ 22,721,775	\$ 26,273,714	\$ 28,991,313	\$11,073,125
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7. Subtotal	\$ 44,067,678	\$ 43,856,229	\$\$46,127,772	\$ 51,221,075	\$ 54,743,793	\$ 34,386,872
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE I	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ 144,375,298	\$120,067,475	\$ 128,093,036	\$ 72,655,300	\$125,687,846	\$ 148,126,326
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$188,442,976	\$163,923,704	\$174,220,808	\$123,876,375	\$180,431,639	\$ 182,513,198
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: AZ

	FY 2	2009	FY:	2010	FY:	2011
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$	\$5,653,715	\$	\$	\$	\$
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$ 394,791	\$ 474,628	\$1,166,773	\$	\$ 612,223	\$
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 17,892,553	\$ 12,603,084	\$ 6,063,683	\$	\$ 7,734,184	\$
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$	\$0	\$
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$34,243,753	\$ 22,932,949	\$6,790,456	\$	\$31,969,534	\$
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$	\$0	\$
7. Subtotal	\$ 59,559,853	\$41,664,376	\$21,056,683	\$0	\$ 47,406,452	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE I	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$159,137,459	\$ 161,256,851	\$ 70,982,759	\$	\$ 74,092,038	\$
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$218,697,312	\$202,921,227	\$92,039,442	\$0	\$121,498,490	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Form3_Main Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2009 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: Form3_Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2009 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: Form3_Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended Row Name: Unobligated Balance

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2008

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. More funds were expended during this time period, then what was projected

Section Number: Form3_Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2009

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. Over the past three years, state funding for maternal and child health programs within the Bureau of Women's & Children's Health has dropped by 64%. State general funding for Health Start, Abstinence Education, County Prenatal Service, Children's Rehabilitative Services, and Pregnancy Services was completely eliminated. The budget for the High Risk Perinatal Program has been reduced by nearly 60%.

Section Number: Form3_Main Field Name: OtherFundsExpended

Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2009

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. Over the past three years, state funding for maternal and child health programs within the Bureau of Women's & Children's Health has dropped by 64%. State general funding for Health Start, Abstinence Education, County Prenatal Service, Children's Rehabilitative Services, and Pregnancy Services was completely eliminated. The budget for the High Risk Perinatal Program has been reduced by nearly 60%.

Section Number: Form3_Main Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds

Column Name: Expended Year: 2008

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. Less funds were expended during this time period, then what was projected. Normally we would send out CRS match to AHCCCS for the entire year all in the month of July. We were only allowed to send 1 quarter's worth during July - September 2008

Section Number: Form3_Main Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2008 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: AZ

	FY	2006	FY:	2007	FY 2008		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$3,547,48	1 \$ 2,939,233	\$3,244,174	\$3,004,656	\$3,202,648	\$ 2,814,925	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$6,922,68	\$ 7,454,144	\$7,213,801	\$8,648,465	\$ 9,371,088	\$ 9,366,868	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	2 \$ 7,573,518	\$8,330,649	\$ 8,548,907	\$12,110,600	\$8,874,088	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$25,509,51	2 \$ 25,493,380	\$26,587,919	\$ 30,621,095	\$ 29,333,945	\$ 13,017,815	
e. Others	\$	0 \$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
f. Administration	\$	\$ 395,954	\$	\$\$397,952	\$	\$ 313,176	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 44,067,678	\$ 43,856,229	\$46,127,772	\$51,221,075	\$54,743,793	\$34,386,872	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	ontrol of the person	responsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
b. SSDI	\$ 100,000]	\$ 100,000		\$94,644		
c. CISS	\$ 100,000		\$140,000		\$ 140,000		
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 1,034,776		\$1,034,776		\$0		
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$100,000		\$ 114,999		\$ 114,702		
g. WIC	\$ 76,938,417		\$ 74,254,722		\$ 65,996,173		
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
i. CDC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
j. Education	\$0		\$0		\$0		
k.Other		7		1			
CHILD FATALITY	\$ 148,000		\$ 148,000		\$ 148,000		
CRS	\$ 57,926,638		\$ 43,307,910		\$ 49,574,056		
FAMILY VIOLENCE	\$1,685,611		\$1,665,286		\$1,699,821		
INTEGRATED COMM SYS	\$0	_	\$0		\$ 429,278		
KIDS CARE	\$3,319,509		\$ 4,271,205		\$5,009,499		
PHBG	\$1,619,046		\$1,217,089		\$1,217,089		
RAPE PREV ED	\$ 753,331		\$ 750,932		\$		
SPINAL HEAD INJURY	\$0	_	\$ 580,647		\$ 286,846		
STATE INJURY SURVEIL	\$0	_	\$ 120,000		\$116,760		
UNIVERSAL NB HEARING	\$149,970	_	\$ 149,970		\$149,970		
AZ EARLY INTERVENTIO	\$ 500,000	_	\$ 237,500		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 144,375,298		\$ 128,093,036		\$ 125,687,846		

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: AZ

	FY:	2009	9 FY 2010			FY 2011		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED		
a. Pregnant Women	\$1,610,053	\$1,403,115	\$	\$	\$ 1,819,821	\$		
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$10,572,144	\$3,748,192	\$1,765,108	\$	\$\$3,739,081	\$		
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$9,457,947	\$ 7,271,800	\$ 9,202,688	\$	\$ 6,097,680	\$		
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$ 35,698,324	\$ 27,484,665	\$ 6,419,851	\$	\$ 33,794,776	\$		
e. Others	\$1,518,510	\$1,313,096	\$ 885,997	\$	\$	\$		
f. Administration	\$ 702,875	\$ 443,508	\$ 703,577	\$	\$ 455,418	\$		
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 59,559,853	\$ 41,664,376	\$21,056,683	\$0	\$ 47,406,452	\$0		
II. Other Federal Funds (under the		ananaible for admini	otrotion of the Title V	\				
II. Other Federal Funds (under the of a. SPRANS	s ontrol of the person r	esponsible for admini	stration of the little V	program).	\$ 0			
b. SSDI	\$ 94,644		\$ 94,644		\$ 93,713			
	1		\$ 94,044		\$ 93,713			
c. CISS d. Abstinence Education	<u> </u>		\$ 0 \$ 0		\$ <u> </u>			
	* <u></u>		<u> </u>		\$ <u> </u>			
e. Healthy Start			\$ 0		*			
f. EMSC	\$ 115,000		\$ 130,000		\$ 130,000			
g. WIC	\$ 86,373,163		\$0		\$0			
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0			
i. CDC	\$0		\$0		\$0			
j. Education	\$0		\$0		\$0			
k.Other]			l				
1ST TIME MOTHERHOOD	\$0		\$ 497,213		\$ 500,000			
CRS	\$ 61,143,652		\$ 59,610,387		\$ 68,937,712			
FAMILY VIOLENCE	\$ 1,730,552		\$1,700,000		\$1,868,628			
NGIT FASD-SAMHSA	\$ 252,778		\$ 264,199		\$ 227,669			
ORAL HEALTH WORKFORC	\$0		\$0		\$ 384,092			
PROJECT LAUNCH	\$0		\$ 900,946		\$ 900,000			
RAPE PREV ED	\$ 671,551		\$651,448		\$ 640,456			
SEXUAL ASSAULT SVCS	\$0		\$0		\$8			
STATE INJURY SURVEIL	\$116,700		\$116,748		\$127,358			
KIDS CARE	\$ 6,717,174		\$6,717,174		\$0			
SPINAL HEAD INJURY	\$ 300,000		\$ 300,000		\$0			
CHILD FATALITY	\$ 148,000		\$0		\$0			
PHBG	\$ 1,184,314		\$ <u>0</u>		\$			
UNIVERSAL NB HEARING	\$ 149,931		\$0		\$ 0			
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 159,137,459		\$ 70,982,759		\$ 74,092,038			

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Form4_I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2009 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. Over the past three years, state funding for maternal and child health programs within the Bureau of Women's & Children's Health has dropped by 64%. State general funding for Health Start, Abstinence Education, County Prenatal Service, Children's Rehabilitative Services, and Pregnancy Services was completely eliminated. The budget for the High Risk Perinatal Program has been reduced by nearly 60%.

2. Section Number: Form4_I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended Year: 2008

Year: 2008 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

3. Section Number: Form4_I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2009 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. Over the past three years, state funding for maternal and child health programs within the Bureau of Women's & Children's Health has dropped by 64%. State general funding for Health Start, Abstinence Education, County Prenatal Service, Children's Rehabilitative Services, and Pregnancy Services was completely eliminated. The budget for the High Risk Perinatal Program has been reduced by nearly 60%.

4. Section Number: Form4_I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2009

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. Over the past three years, state funding for maternal and child health programs within the Bureau of Women's & Children's Health has dropped by 64%. State general funding for Health Start, Abstinence Education, County Prenatal Service, Children's Rehabilitative Services, and Pregnancy Services was completely eliminated. The budget for the High Risk Perinatal Program has been reduced by nearly 60%.

5. Section Number: Form4_I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2008

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

6. Section Number: Form4_I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2009

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. Over the past three years, state funding for maternal and child health programs within the Bureau of Women's & Children's Health has dropped by 64%. State general funding for Health Start, Abstinence Education, County Prenatal Service, Children's Rehabilitative Services, and Pregnancy Services was completely eliminated. The budget for the High Risk Perinatal Program has been reduced by nearly 60%.

7. Section Number: Form4 I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2008 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

8. Section Number: Form4_I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersBudgeted Row Name: All Others Column Name: Budgeted Year: 2011

Field Note:

This category represents services for women that are not pregnant.

9. Section Number: Form4_I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended Year: 2009

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. Over the past three years, state funding for maternal and child health programs within the Bureau of Women's & Children's Health has dropped by 64%. State general funding for Health Start, Abstinence Education, County Prenatal Service, Children's Rehabilitative Services, and Pregnancy Services was completely eliminated. The budget for the High Risk Perinatal Program has been reduced by nearly 60%.

10. Section Number: Form4_I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2009 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. Over the past three years, state funding for maternal and child health programs within the Bureau of Women's & Children's Health has dropped by 64%. State general funding for Health Start, Abstinence Education, County Prenatal Service, Children's Rehabilitative Services, and Pregnancy Services was completely eliminated. The budget for the High Risk Perinatal Program has been reduced by nearly 60%.

11. Section Number: Form4_I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2008 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: AZ

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2006	FY:	2007	FY 2	2008	
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 26,133,840	\$ 26,414,623	\$ 25,601,185	\$31,363,124	\$ 30,797,592	\$15,446,546	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$1,334,768	\$1,914,054	\$1,596,520	\$1,509,017	\$1,618,639	\$1,913,973	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 7,615,439	\$ 7,916,799	\$\$,721,978	\$10,744,971	\$14,274,747	\$10,470,330	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$8,983,631	\$7,610,753	\$9,208,089	\$	\$8,052,815	\$6,556,023	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$44,067,678	\$43,856,229	\$46,127,772	\$51,221,075	\$54,743,793	\$34,386,872	

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: AZ

Type of Sepvice	FY 2	2009	FY 2	2010	FY 2011		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 37,594,218	\$\$	\$ 5,305,578	\$	\$ 35,021,005	\$	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$\$	\$1,872,983	\$3,241,647	\$	\$\$2,306,163	\$	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$12,497,718	\$5,153,458	\$ 6,039,920	\$	\$4,748,460	\$	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$	\$4,682,040	\$6,469,538	\$	\$5,330,824	\$	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$ 59,559,853	\$41,664,376	\$21,056,683	\$0	\$47,406,452	\$	

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Form5_Main Field Name: DirectHCExpended

Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2009 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. Over the past three years, state funding for maternal and child health programs within the Bureau of Women's & Children's Health has dropped by 64%. State general funding for Health Start, Abstinence Education, County Prenatal Service, Children's Rehabilitative Services, and Pregnancy Services was completely eliminated. The budget for the High Risk Perinatal Program has been reduced by nearly 60%.

Section Number: Form5 Main Field Name: DirectHCExpended

Row Name: Direct Health Care Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2008

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: Form5_Main Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2009 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. Over the past three years, state funding for maternal and child health programs within the Bureau of Women's & Children's Health has dropped by 64%. State general funding for Health Start, Abstinence Education, County Prenatal Service, Children's Rehabilitative Services, and Pregnancy Services was completely eliminated. The budget for the High Risk Perinatal Program has been reduced by nearly 60%.

Section Number: Form5_Main Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2008 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: Form5_Main Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2009 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. Over the past three years, state funding for maternal and child health programs within the Bureau of Women's & Children's Health has dropped by 64%. State general funding for Health Start, Abstinence Education, County Prenatal Service, Children's Rehabilitative Services, and Pregnancy Services was completely eliminated. The budget for the High Risk Perinatal Program has been reduced by nearly 60%.

Section Number: Form5_Main Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2008

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: Form5_Main Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended

Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2009 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur. Over the past three years, state funding for maternal and child health programs within the Bureau of Women's & Children's Health has dropped by 64%. State general funding for Health Start, Abstinence Education, County Prenatal Service, Children's Rehabilitative Services, and Pregnancy Services was completely eliminated. The budget for the High Risk Perinatal Program has been reduced by nearly 60%.

Section Number: Form5_Main Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2008 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

NUMBER AND BE	-DOENTAGE OF	NEWDORNG AN	FORM 6	DEENED CASE	c Concidued	AND TREATER						
NUMBER AND PE	RCENTAGE OF		ND OTHERS SC Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)	KEENED, CASE	S CONFIRMED,	AND IKEAIED						
			STATE: AZ									
		00.044										
Total Births by Occurrence: 93,314 Reporting Year: 2009												
		., 1	(B)		/-	,,]						
Type of	(A) Receiving at least one Screen (1)		(B) No. of Presumptive	(C) No.	(I Needing Tre Received Tr	atment that						
Screening Tests	No.	%	Positive Screens	Confirmed Cases (2)	No.	%						
Phenylketonuria	89,160	95.5	104	7	7	100						
Congenital	89,160	95.5	585	4	4	100						
Hypothyroidism Galactosemia	89,160	95.5	91	2	2	100						
Sickle Cell		05.5	4.005									
Disease Other Screening	89,160 (Specify)	95.5	1,025	12	12	100						
Cystic Fibrosis 89,160 95.5 161 14 14 100												
Homocystinuria	89,160	95.5	237	1	1	100						
Maple Syrup	89,160	95.5	158	0	0							
Urine Disease beta-ketothiolase												
deficiency Tyrosinemia	89,160	95.5	0	0	0							
Type I	89,160	95.5	94	0	0							
Very Long-Chain Acyl-CoA												
Dehydrogenase Deficiency	89,160	95.5	6	1	1	100						
Sickle C Disease	89,160	95.5	1,025	5	5	100						
Argininosuccinic Acidemia	89,160	95.5	7	0	0							
Citrullinemia	89,160	95.5	7	1	1	100						
Isovaleric Acidemia	89,160	95.5	21	1	1	100						
Propionic Acidemia	89,160	95.5	17	1	1	100						
Carnitine Uptake	89,160	95.5	3	0	0							
Defect 3-Methylcrotonyl-	03,100	95.5										
CoA Carboxylase Deficiency	89,160	95.5	10	0	0							
Methylmalonic acidemia (Cbl												
A,B) `	89,160	95.5	17	4	4	100						
Multiple Carboxylase Deficiency	89,160	95.5	17	0	0							
Trifunctional Protein Deficiency	89,160	95.5	0	0	0							
Glutaric Acidemia Type I	89,160	95.5	12	0	0							
Medium-Chain												
Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency	89,160	95.5	9	4	4	100						
Long-Chain L-3- Hydroxy Acyl-												
CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency	89,160	95.5	0	0	0							
3-Hydroxy 3- Methyl Glutaric Aciduria	89,160	95.5	10	0	0							
Methylmalonic												
Acidemia												

(Mutase Deficiency)	89,160	95.5	17	0	0					
S-Beta Thalassemia	89,160	95.5	1,025	2	2	100				
Screening Progra	ms for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)						
Pregnancy Tests	11,077	11.9	4,741	0	0					
Pap tests	4,806	5.2	0	0	0					
Hearing Screening	535,001	573.3	12,904	1,259	1,259	100				
(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.										

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Form6_Screening Programs for Older Children and Women

Field Name: OtherWomen Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns

Year: 2011 Field Note:

Hearing Screening of older children: The # of children who needed treatment that actually received treatment is unknown. The number reported in Column D as those who needed treatment that received treatment is the number of children reported by the schools as being identified as deaf or hard of hearing. Arizona schools are required to conduct hearing screening of students and report data to Bureau of Women's & Children's Health. Schools report # of students screened, # that did not pass screening (1st & 2nd screening), # of students evaluated by medical provider, # of students evaluated by audiologist, and # of children identified as deaf or hard of hearing.

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: AZ

Reporting Year: 2009

	TITLE V		PRIMAR	Y SOURCES OF COV	ERAGE	
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %
Pregnant Women	28,842	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Infants < 1 year old	98,710	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Children 1 to 22 years old	636,745	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	33,206	58.0	3.4	14.0	0.1	24.5
Others	15,883	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
TOTAL	813,386					

Most programs funded by Title V are prevention or infrastructure strategies that do not have enrollment requirements and do not involve insurance coverage.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Form7_Main Field Name: Children_0_1_TS Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2011 Field Note:

Number of infants served decreased from previous year due to decline in births in the state.

2. Section Number: Form7_Main Field Name: Children_1_22_TS

Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2011 Field Note:

Numbers of children 1 to 22 served by Title V program declined in 2009 compared to previous year due in part to data reporting issues associated with hearing screening in

schools.

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [SEC. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: AZ

Reporting Year: 2009

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown		
DELIVERIES										
Total Deliveries in State	91,775	75,399	4,332	6,234	3,296	57	0	2,457		
Title V Served	89,160	73,251	4,209	6,056	3,202	55	0	2,387		
Eligible for Title XIX	49,022	40,152	2,770	3,557	853	26	0	1,664		
INFANTS										
Total Infants in State	92,616	76,118	4,378	6,239	3,339	57	0	2,485		
Title V Served	89,160	73,279	4,214	6,006	3,214	54	0	2,393		
Eligible for Title XIX	49,538	40,551	2,830	3,586	868	26	0	1,677		

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)				
	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	46,586	38,821	6,368	36,466	79	343	970	963
Title V Served	45,258	37,715	6,187	35,427	77	333	942	936
Eligible for Title XIX	16,937	28,425	3,660	27,182	38	157	636	412
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	47,133	39,065	6,418	36,680	81	351	981	972
Title V Served	45,374	37,607	6,179	35,311	78	338	944	936
Eligible for Title XIX	17,156	28,689	3,693	27,437	38	159	643	412

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Form8_I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_AII Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2011 Field Note:

Arizona uses the outdated 1989 U.S. Fetal Death Certificate. This certificate does not include information about mother's insurance. Therefore, fetal deaths are not included in the count for deliveries eligible for Title XIX

Section Number: Form8_II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_TotalNotHispanic Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2011 Field Note:

Arizona uses the outdated 1989 U.S. Fetal Death Certificate. This certificate does not include information about mother's insurance. Therefore, fetal deaths are not included in the count for deliveries eligible for Title XIX

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: AZ

FY 2011	FY 2010	FY 2009	FY 2008	FY 2007
(800) 833-4642	(800) 833-4642	(800) 833-4642	(800) 833-4642	(800) 833-4642
Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline	Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline	Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline	Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline	Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline
Mary Ellen Cunningham	Mary Ellen Cunningham	Mary Ellen Cunningham	Dana Goodloe	Dana Goodloe
(602) 364-1453	(602) 364-1453	(602) 364- 1453	(602) 364-1462	(602) 364-1462
CUNNINM@azdhs.gov	CUNNINM@azdhs.gov			
0	0	4,495	4,233	6,018
	Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline Mary Ellen Cunningham (602) 364-1453	(800) 833-4642 (800) 833-4642 Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline Mary Ellen Cunningham Mary Ellen Cunningham (602) 364-1453 (602) 364-1453	(800) 833-4642 (800) 833-4642 (800) 833-4642 Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline Mary Ellen Cunningham Mary Ellen Cunningham Mary Ellen Cunningham (602) 364-1453 (602) 364-1453 (602) 364-1453 CUNNINM@azdhs.gov CUNNINM@azdhs.gov CUNNINM@azdhs.gov	Regnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hot

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: AZ

	FY 2011	FY 2010	FY 2009	FY 2008	FY 2007
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 232-1676	(800) 232-1676	(800) 232-1676	(800) 232-1676	(800) 232-1676
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Children's Information Center				
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Mary Ellen Cunningham	Mary Ellen Cunningham	Mary Ellen Cunningham	Dana Goodloe	Dana Goodloe
Contact Person's Telephone Number	(602) 364- 1453	(602) 364- 1453	(602) 364- 1453	(602) 364-1462	(602) 364-1462
5. Contact Person's Email	CUNNINM@azdhs.gov	CUNNINM@azdhs.gov			
6. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	3,305	4,758	3,350

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 9
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2011 [SEC. 506(A)(1)]

STATE: AZ

1. State MCH Administration:

The Arizona Department of Health Services was established as the State Public Health Agency in 1973 under A.R.S. Title 36 and is designated as Arizona's Title V Agency. The Bureau of Women's and Children's Health (BWCH) and the Office for Children with Special Health Care Needs (OCSHCN) provide policies and standards development, comprehensive planning, community organization and development, training and technical assistance, and direct provision of screening, preventive and curative services and service coordination. Services provided through the Title V program include, but are not limited to, High Risk Perinatal Services, Oral Health, Family Planning, Injury Prevention, Obesity Prevention, Hotline, Health Start, Family and Youth Involvement, Telemedicine, and Electronic Infrastructure Development.

Block Grant Funds		
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 7,090,511	
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 612,223	
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 7,734,184	
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 0	
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 31,969,534	
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 0	
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 47,406,452	
O Mact cignificant providers receiving MOLL funds		
Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:		Ariana Dhurisiana IDA
		Arizona Physicians IPA
		Raising Special Kids
		County Health Departments
		Community Health Centers
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)		
a. Pregnant Women	 28,842	

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

d CSHCN

e Others

b. Infants < 1 year old

c. Children 1 to 22 years old

OCSHCN supports development of electronic Health Records and telemedicine to extend specialty services to remote areas of the state and coordinate care among CSHCN, and provides family support, including information and referral and translation services. The High Risk Perinatal Program provides direct health care services. The maternal and neonatal transport component authorizes and funds the transport of high risk pregnant women and infants to appropriate medical centers and the community nursing component provides in-home nursing consultation to enrolled families. Hospital and Inpatient Physician Services has contracts with physician groups to provide care to infants in the Newborn Intensive Care Unit. The Reproductive Health/Family Planning Program contracts with county health departments and the county hospital system to provide education, counseling, referral, and reproductive health services to women of childbearing age. The Health Start Program provides in-home prenatal outreach services through community health workers to at-risk women. The Pregnancy & Breastfeeding/Baby Arizona Hotline helps pregnant women find a prenatal care provider.

98,710

636,745

33,206

15,883

b. Population-Based Services:

The Bureau of Women's & Children's Health funds community-based activities that address state maternal and child health priority areas, such as injury prevention and obesity/overweight. The Sexual Violence Prevention and Education Program reaches over 25,000 Arizonans per year with primary prevention education. The program has worked with multiple stakeholders to develop the first state plan specific to prevention of sexual violence. The program develops multi-faceted, evidence and theory based activities and/or strategies; addresses change at multiple levels; and focuses on multiple life stages; child, adolescent, and adult. The Bureau of Women's & Children's Health is implementing a Preconception Health Initiative. "Every Woman Arizona" materials covering various preconception health topics have been developed and are available in English and Spanish. A First Time Motherhood grant enabled BWCH to conduct the "Live it, change it" campaign that target African Americans with a preconception health message. The campaign consists of radio spots, billboards, brochures, mood piece, website and E-blasts, and educational presentations in African American churches and other appropriate venues in Maricopa County and other areas of the state. The Phoenix Chapter of the Black Nurses Association conducts presentations and trains barbers and beauticians on preconception health so they can educate their clients. BWCH worked with the March of Dimes to hold Arizona's first preconception health summit in 2010, and is working with partners to produce a statewide action plan for preconception health.

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

OCSHCN maintains an e-learning to facilitate training for providers and families, and supports the development of family and youth leadership. Injury prevention: The Bureau of Women's & Children's Health leads the Department's injury prevention efforts through management of the ADHS Injury Prevention Advisory Council and the ADHS Injury Prevention Internal Workgroup. Infrastructure-building activities include leading the update to the statewide injury surveillance and prevention plan, and outreach to new and existing partners throughout the state. The Injury Prevention Program train people to become certified by the national Highway Safety Transportation Administration for teaching parents/caregivers how to properly install car seats. The BWCH also houses the Arizona Safe Kids coalition, which is targeting the expansion of new local coalitions and development of existing coalitions. The Injury Prevention/Emergency Management Services for Children Program has worked with stakeholders in Arizona to develop a voluntary pediatric designation system that would identify the readiness and capabilities of hospitals and their staffs to provide optimal pediatric emergency and critical care. Empower Program: In 2010, ADHS implemented a new program known as the Empower Program. The program promotes 10 standards on nutrition, physical activity and tobacco prevention designed to create a healthy environment for children in child care settings. Child care providers that adopt the standards receive a reduction in licensing fees, training and technical assistance, and a logo that identifies them as an "Empower Center." Empower Centers receive an Empower Pack that includes a guide of best

12. The primary	Fitle V Program contact person:	13. The children with	special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person
Name	Sheila Sjolander	Name	Joan Agostinelli
Title	Bureau Chief/MCH Director	Title	Chief, OCSHCN
Address	150 N 18th Avenue Suite 320	Address	150 N. 18th Avenue, Suite 330
City	Phoenix	City	Phoenix
State	Arizona	State	Arizona
Zip	85007	Zip	85007
Phone	602-364-1419	Phone	602-542-1860
Fax	602-364-1496	Fax	602-542-2589
Email	sheila.sjolander@azdhs.gov	Email	agostij@azdhs.gov
Web	http://www.azdhs.gov/phs/owch/index.htm	Web	www.azdhs.gov/phs/ocshcn/index.htm

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 10
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: AZ

Form Level Notes for Form 11

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of screen positive newborns who received timely follow up to definitive diagnosis and clinical management for condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs.

lewborn screening programs.							
				Annual C	bjective and Perform	nance Data	
	2005		2006		2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	•	100		100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator	1	0.00		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Numerator		80		79	85	93	115
Denominator		80		79	85	93	115
Data Source	•					AZ Office of Newborn Screening	AZ Office of Newborn Screening
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)							
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•					Final	Final
				Annual C	Objective and Perform	mance Data	
	2010		2011		2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective		100		100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator							
Denominator							

Field Level Notes

None

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02					
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 year (CSHCN survey)	ars whose families p	artner in decision ma	king at all levels and a	are satisfied with the	services they receive.
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	54	55	56	54	55
Annual Indicator	51.4	51.4	53.6	53.6	53.6
Numerator					<u> </u>
Denominator					
Data Source				SLAITS	SLAITS
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be					
applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		<u>Annual C</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	54	54	54	54	54
Annual Indicator					
Numerator					
Denominator					

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. The same questions were used to generate the NPM02 indicator for both the 2001 and the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey. No new data has been released for this measure since last year's application.

2. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. The same questions were used to generate the NPM02 indicator for both the 2001 and the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey. No new data has been released for this measure since last year's application.

3. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. The same questions were used to generate the NPM02 indicator for both the 2001 and the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03					
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	no receive coordina	ted, ongoing, compr	ehensive care within a	medical home. (CSHC	CN Survey)
		<u>Annua</u>	I Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	51.5	5	2 52.5	41	41
Annual Indicator	r50.5	50.	40.4	40.4	40.4
Numerator	r				
Denominator	r	-			
Data Source	•			SLAITS	SLAITS
Data Source Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX. Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	i r 				
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?			Final	Final
		Annua	Il Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	40	4	0 40	40	40
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	r				

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. Compared to the 2001 CSHCN survey, there were wording changes, skip pattern revisions and additions to the questions used to generate the NPM03 indicator for the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey. The data for the two surveys are not comparable for PM #03. No new data has been released for this measure since last year's application.

Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. Compared to the 2001 CSHCN survey, there were wording changes, skip pattern revisions and additions to the questions used to generate the NPM03 indicator for the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey. The data for the two surveys are not comparable for PM #03. No new data has been released for this measure since last year's application.

Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. Compared to the 2001 CSHCN survey, there were wording changes, skip pattern revisions and additions to the questions used to generate the NPM03 indicator for the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey. The data for the two surveys are not comparable for PM #03.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04										
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) $$	ose families have a	dequate private and/o	r public insurance to	pay for the services th	ney need. (CSHCN					
	Annual Objective and Performance Data									
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009					
Annual Performance Objective	61	61	61	59	59					
Annual Indicator	60.8	60.8	58.1	58.1	58.1					
Numerator										
Denominator										
Data Source	•			SLAITS	SLAITS					
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.	! 									
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Final					
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data						
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014					
Annual Performance Objective	58	58	58	58	58					
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator										

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. The same questions were used to generate the NPM04 indicator for both the 2001 and the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey. No new data has been released for this measure since last year's application.

2. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. The same questions were used to generate the NPM04 indicator for both the 2001 and the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey. No new data has been released for this measure since last year's application.

3. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. The same questions were used to generate the NPM04 indicator for both the 2001 and the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey.

Performance Measure # 05 Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose Survey)	families report the c	community-based serv	vice systems are orga	nized so they can use	e them easily. (CSHCN
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	73	74	75	87	87
Annual Indicator	70.9	70.9	86.5	86.5	86.5
Numerator					
Denominator					
Data Source				SLAITS	SLAITS
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	86	86	86	86	86
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator					

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. Compared to the 2001 CSHCN survey, there were revisions to the wording, ordering and the number of the questions used to generate the NPM05 indicator for the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey. The data for the two surveys are not comparable for PM #05. No new data has been released for this measure since last year's application.

2. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. Compared to the 2001 CSHCN survey, there were revisions to the wording, ordering and the number of the questions used to generate the NPM05 indicator for the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey. The data for the two surveys are not comparable for PM #05. No new data has been released for this measure since last year's application.

3. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. Compared to the 2001 CSHCN survey, there were revisions to the wording, ordering and the number of the questions used to generate the NPM05 indicator for the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey. The data for the two surveys are not comparable for PM #05.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06					
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received and independence.	the services necess	sary to make transition	ns to all aspects of ad	lult life, including adul	t health care, work,
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	6	6	6	39	40
Annual Indicator	5.8	5.8	39.4	39.4	39.4
Numerator					
Denominator					
Data Source				SLAITS	SLAITS
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Data Source Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2.The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.) Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator					
applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	39	39	39	39	39
Annual Indicator		·			
Numerator					
Denominator					

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

DEDECORMANCE MEASURE # 06

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. Compared to the 2001 CSHCN survey, there were wording changes, skip pattern revisions, and additions to the questions used to generate the NPM06 indicator for the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey. There were also issues around the reliability of the 2001 data because of the sample size. The data for the two surveys are not comparable for PM #06 and the 2005-2006 may be considered baseline data. No new data has been released for this measure since last year's application.

2. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. Compared to the 2001 CSHCN survey, there were wording changes, skip pattern revisions, and additions to the questions used to generate the NPM06 indicator for the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey. There were also issues around the reliability of the 2001 data because of the sample size. The data for the two surveys are not comparable for PM #06 and the 2005-2006 may be considered baseline data. No new data has been released for this measure since last year's application.

3. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Indicator data comes from the National Survey of CSHCN, conducted by HRSA and CDC, 2005-2006. Compared to the 2001 CSHCN survey, there were wording changes, skip pattern revisions, and additions to the questions used to generate the NPM06 indicator for the 2005-2006 CSHCN survey. There were also issues around the reliability of the 2001 data because of the sample size. The data for the two surveys are not comparable for PM #06 and the 2005-2006 may be considered baseline data.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07						
Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of agr Haemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	e appropriate immi	unizations	against Mea	sles, Mumps, Rub	əlla, Polio, Diphtheria, 1	etanus, Pertussis,
			Annual C	Objective and Per	formance Data	
	2005	2006		2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	78		79	79.	5 80	80
Annual Indicator	78.6		79.2	76.	2 76.7	76
Numerator						
Denominator						
Data Source					National Immunization Survey	National Immunization Survey
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)		·				
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Final
			Annual C	Objective and Per	formance Data	
	2010	2011		2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	80		80	8	0 80	80
Annual Indicator						
Numerator						
Denominator						

Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

The source of immunization data is the CDC National Immunization Survey (http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/stats-surv/nis/tables/0607/tab03_antigen_state.xls). Previously, the reported rate represented the coverage level for 4DPT, 3OPV and 1 MMR only, because data were not reliably available for the full series recommended by the CDC, which also includes 3 doses of Hep B and 3 doses of Hib. No data were available for this measure for 1998, but the data points for 1999 forward have been changed to reflect the full 4:3:1:3:3 series. Performance objectives were also changed to reflect the change in the measure. Estimates for data points are based on reports for the following date ranges:

1999 = July 1998 through Jun 1999

2000 = "2000"

2001 = Jan 01 through Dec 01

2002 = Jul 01 through Jun 02

2003 = Jul 02 through Jun 03

2004= Jul 03 through Jun 04

2005= Jan 04 through Dec 04

2006=Jan 05 through Dec 05

2007= Jul 06 through Jun 07

2008= Jul 07 through Jun 08

2009=Jul 08 through Jun 09

The 2009 estimate reflects the immunization status of children by age two for children who were 19-35 months of age, born between July 2005 and December 2008. The estimate tolerates 5.9 error at a 95% confidence level. There was no significant decrease in this immunization measure in 2009

Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The source of immunization data is the CDC National Immunization Survey (http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/stats-surv/nis/tables/0607/tab03_antigen_state.xls). Previously, the reported rate represented the coverage level for 4DPT, 3OPV and 1 MMR only, because data were not reliably available for the full series recommended by the CDC, which also includes 3 doses of Hep B and 3 doses of Hib. No data were available for this measure for 1998, but the data points for 1999 forward have been changed to reflect the full 4:3:1:3:3 series. Performance objectives were also changed to reflect the change in the measure. Estimates for data points are based on reports for the following date

1999 = July 1998 through Jun 1999

2000 = "2000"

2001 = Jan 01 through Dec 01

2002 = Jul 01 through Jun 02 2003 = Jul 02 through Jun 03

2004= Jul 03 through Jun 04

2005= Jan 04 through Dec 04

2006=Jan 05 through Dec 05

2007= Jul 06 through Jun 07

2008= Jul 07 through Jun 2008

The 2008 estimate reflects the immunization status of children by age two for children who were 19-35 months of age, born between July 2004 and December 2007. The estimate tolerates 7.5 error at a 95% confidence level.

Section Number: Form11 Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

The source of immunization data is the CDC National Immunization Survey (http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/stats-surv/nis/tables/0607/tab03_antigen_state.xls). Previously, the reported rate represented the coverage level for 4DPT, 3OPV and 1 MMR only, because data were not reliably available for the full series recommended by the CDC, which also includes 3 doses of Hep B and 3 doses of Hib. No data were available for this measure for 1998, but the data points for 1999 forward have been changed to reflect the full 4:3:1:3:3 series. Performance objectives were also changed to reflect the change in the measure. Estimates for data points are based on reports for the following date

1999 = July 1998 through Jun 1999

2000 = "2000"

2001 = Jan 01 through Dec 01

2002 = Jul 01 through Jun 02

2003 = Jul 02 through Jun 03

2004= Jul 03 through Jun 04

2005= Jan 04 through Dec 04 2006=Jan 05 through Dec 05

2007= Jul 06 through Jun 07
The 2007 estimate reflects the immunization status of children by age two for children who were 19-35 months of age, born between July 2003 and December 2005. The estimate tolerates 5.4% error at a 95% confidence level.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08									
The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.									
				Annual C	Objective and Perf	ormance D	ata		
	2005		2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	·	35		35	34	<u> </u>	33		32
Annual Indicator		34.1		34.0	32.3	<u> </u>	30.3		25.3
Numerator		4,179		4,450	4,36		4,151		3,501
Denominator		122,496		130,905	134,89		137,022		138,280
Data Source	•					AZ Birt	h Certificate	s AZ Birth	Certificates
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)									
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•					Final		Final	
				Annual C	Objective and Perf	ormance D	ata		
	2010		2011		2012	2013		2014	
Annual Performance Objective		23.5		23	22.	5	22		21.5
Annual Indicator									
Numerator									
Denominator	•								

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #8
Field Name: PM08
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2008
Field Nete: Field Note:

The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the narrative sections in this grant.

2. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

2007 data are not yet available. The rate is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until the data becomes available in Fall 2008.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09					
Percent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one pern	nanent molar tooth.			
	Annual Objective and Performance Data				
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	25	36.5	36.5	36.5	36.5
Annual Indicator	36.2	36.2	36.2	36.2	47.1
Numerator					
Denominator					
Data Source				AZ Office of Oral Health survey	AZ Office of Oral Health survey
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
	Annual Objective and Performance Data				
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	47.1	47.1	47.1	47.1	47.1
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator					

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

The Healthy Smiles Healthy Bodies survey was conducted for a random sample of 3rd Grade students in 2009. It is important to note that some differential misclassification bias may have occurred during the visual examination for sealants. The ADHS Office of Oral Health received some reports of oral health examiners having difficulty telling the difference between a sealant and resins on molars. This threat to the validity of the estimate will be corrected prior to the next survey in 2015.

2. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The figure for 2008 is from a statewide oral health survey of over 80 communities, for 1999-2003. This survey will be re-done for each needs assessment year, with the next survey scheduled for 2010.

3. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

The figure for 2007 is from a statewide oral health survey of over 80 communities, for 1999-2003. This survey will be re-done for each needs assessment year, with the next survey scheduled for 2010.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	motor vehicle crashe	es per 100,000 childre	en.		
			Objective and Perfor		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	5	4.2	4	4	3.8
Annual Indicator	4.2	4.0	4.0	2.7	3.5
Numerator	56	55	57	39	50
Denominator	1,347,557	1,390,127	1,412,725	1,429,459	1,434,985
Data Source				AZ Death Certificates	AZ Death Certificates
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.1
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator					

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

The rate increased 29.6 percent from 2008, but this was not a significant increase as the total counts for mortality are low (p=0.25). The 2008 rate may have been a historical anomaly because of the effect of the economic recession and spike in summer gas prices on total per capita miles driven.

2. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Data for 2008 were not available when the descriptive analyses and program narratives were completed. Estimates for 2001 on reflect motor vehicle death rates for all children ages 0-14. Prior to 2001, estimates were based on ages 1-14.

3. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data for 2007 are not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008. Estimates for 2001 on reflect motor vehicle death rates for all children ages 0-14. Prior to 2001, estimates were based on ages 1-14.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11						
The percent of mothers who breastfeed their infants at 6 months of ag	je.					
			Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006		2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective			38	38	50	50
Annual Indicator	37.6		46.5	43.7	48.2	45.3
Numerator						
Denominator						
Data Source					CDC National Immunization Survey	CDC National Immunization Program
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Final
			Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2010	2011		2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	53		53	54	54	55
Annual Indicator						
Numerator						
Denominator						

Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

The CDC National Immunization Survey data for 2009 (2006 birth cohort) uses a small sample size, thus the confidience intervals for the 2009 estimate are wide (+/- 7.4) . The estimate is not a statistically significant difference from 2007, nor is it significantly different from the U.S. rate of 43.4.

Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The CDC National Immunization Survey data for 2008 (2005 birth cohort) became available after all program narratives and data analyses were completed. The survey used a smaller sample size than was used in previous years, thus the confidience intervals for the 2008 estimate are wide (+/- 6.1) and the estimate is not a statistically significant difference from 2007. In addition the survey had fewer than 100 respondents from Maricopa County-Arizona's largest county.

Prior to 2006 the source of this performance measure was the "Mother's Survey", Ross Products Division, Abbott Laboratories, Inc.

3. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Source: The CDC National Immunization Survey; Table 2. Geographic-specific Breastfeeding Rates among Children born in 2004 (http://www.cdc.gov/breastfeeding/data/NIS_data/data_2004.htm). Prior to 2006 the source of this performance measure was the "Mother's Survey", Ross Products Division,

Abbott Laboratories, Inc.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12					
Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	hospital discharge.				
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	98.5	98.8	97	97	98
Annual Indicator	98.2	96.3	95.4	98.3	98.4
Numerator	94,750	98,363	97,986	97,496	91,824
Denominator	96,487	102,095	102,687	99,215	93,314
Data Source				AZ Early Hearing Detection and Intervention Prog.	AZ Early Hearing Detection and Intervention Prog
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)	! 				
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator					
Numerator					
Denominator					

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

All 49 birthing hospitals in Arizona continue to voluntarily screen for hearing and follow the mandate to report initial and subsequent screens. Of the infants not screened prior to hospital discharge, 44 % returned for outpatient screens, most within 30 days.

2. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

The data reported are estimated from 45 of 49 birthing hospitals. There are now 49 reporting hospitals; 48 birthing facilities and 1 children's hospital that provide screens to neonatal intesive care unit infants. All sites voluntarily screen and are mandated to report data weekly. Although 97, 986 infants were screened prior to hospital discharge, another 475 were given an initial screen as part of outpatient services. This occurred because some infants were transferred prior to screening.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13					
Percent of children without health insurance.					
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	14	14.5	16.5	16.3	16
Annual Indicator	16.7	17	17	13.8	16
Numerator					
Denominator					
Data Source				US Census	US Census
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied.					
(Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.) Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	15.8	15.6	15.4	15.2	15
Annual Indicator					
Numerator					
Denominator					

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

Because of ongoing budget shortfalls, Arizona has frozen enrollement in the state S-CHIP (KidsCare). The freeze and continuing recession may result in a greater proportion of children without health insurance during future reporting periods.

2. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Estimates were revised based adjustments made by the US Census (http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/hlthins/historic/hihistt5.xls). The point estimate has a standard error of 1.50. The final data estimate for 2008 not yet available.

3. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #13 Field Name: PM13

Field Name: PM Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Estimates were revised based adjustments made by the US Census (www.census.gov/hhes/www/hlthins/historic/hihistt5.html). Data for 2007 not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 estimate until the data become available in the Fall of 2008.

2005 Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	35.1 31,345	2006	35	2007 35	2008 34.5	2009
Annual Indicator Numerator			35	35	34.5	
Numerator					34.5	34.5
	31,345		35.6	36.9	37.3	36.6
Denominator		3	31,537	34,535	38,670	41,859
	89,325	8	88,620	93,555	103,755	114,50
Data Source					AZ WIC Program database	AZ WIC Progran
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Final
		A	Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
2010		2011		2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	34.5		34	34	34	3
Annual Indicator						

None

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15								
Percentage of women who smoke in the last three months of pregnar	ıcy.							
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance Dat	<u>a</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	,		5	5		4.5		4.2
Annual Indicator	5.4		5.1	4.7		4.9		4.8
Numerator	5,128		5,225	4,826		4,859		4,461
Denominator	95,798		102,042	102,687		99,215	1	92,616
Data Source	;				AZ Birth (Certificates	s AZ Birth (Certificates
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.	! :							
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	ı				Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance Dat	<u>a</u>		
	2010	2011		2012	2013		2014	
Annual Performance Objective	,4		3.8	3.6		3.4		3.2
Allitual Ferformance Objective								

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

The State of Arizona does not have a survey like PRAMS that would collect data related to smoking during the last 3 months of pregnancy. The only data available is from the birth certificate, which records wether or not the mother smoked during the pregnancy, but is not specific to the last 3 months of the pregnancy. Therefore, the percent reported is the percent of women giving birth in 2009 who smoked at any time during pregnancy.

2. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The State of Arizona does not have a survey like PRAMS that would collect data related to smoking during the last 3 months of pregnancy. The only data available is from the birth certificate, which records wether or not the mother smoked during the pregnancy, but is not specific to the last 3 months of the pregnancy. Therefore, the percent reported is the percent of women giving birth in 2008 who smoked at any time during pregnancy.

3. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

The State of Arizona does not have a survey like PRAMS that would collect data related to smoking during the last 3 months of pregnancy. The only data available is from the birth certificate, which records wether or not the mother smoked during the pregnancy, but is not specific to the last 3 months of the pregnancy. Therefore, the percent reported is the percent of women giving birth in 2007 who smoked at any time during pregnancy.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16						
The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	ıgh 19.					
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006		2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	9.5		11.5	13.5	13	12
Annual Indicator	14.1		13.0	8.5	12.4	10.7
Numerator	61		57	38	56	49
Denominator	431,964		439,190	444,825	451,910	456,079
Data Source					AZ Health Status and Vital Statistics	AZ Health Status and Vital Statistics
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Final
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2010	2011		2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	10		9.5	9	8.5	8
Annual Indicator						
Numerator						
Denominator	•					

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the narrative sections in this grant.

2. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #16 Field Name: PM16

Field Name: PM Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data for 2007 not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 17					
Percent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-ri	isk deliveries and ne	eonates.			
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	82	82	82	82.5	83
Annual Indicator	77.6	77.5	78.8	76.4	90.0
Numerator	868	960	971	890	995
Denominator	1,119	1,238	1,232	1,165	1,106
Data Source				AZ Birth Certificates	s AZ Birth Certificates
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
	2010	<u>Annual (</u> 2011	Objective and Perfor 2012	mance Data 2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	91	91.5	92	92.5	93
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator					

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

The 2009 estimate is based on the inclusion of Level II EQ hosptials. The Arizona Perinatal Trust certifies a Level II EQ to care for neonates at 28 weeks gestation or greater. The American Academy of Pediatrics expanded their classification system for neonatal care in 2004. The new classification system describes a neonatal intensive care Level IIIA as one that can provide care for infants born at more than 28 weeks gestation. Prior to 2009 only Level III hospitals were included in the analysis.

2. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the narrative sections in this grant.

3. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

The data source for this measures is birth certificate data for live births. Births in which the birth weight was recorded as being under 450 grams are excluded as a data quality measure, as it is unlikely that these records represent actual birth weights. Only facilities certified by the Arizona Perinatal Trust as level III facilities are included in the numerator. Banner Desert Samaritan became certified as a Level III on 10/1/02. Data for 2007 are not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008.

ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	ginning in	the first tri	mester.							
				Annual C	bjective and Pe	erform	ance Data			
	2005		2006		2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective		78		78		79		79		80
Annual Indicator		77.7		77.7	7	7.6		79.4		80.3
Numerator	,	74,453		79,299	79,6	83	78	3,738		74,331
Denominator	,	95,798		102,042	102,6	87	99	9,215		92,616
Data Source	!						AZ Birth Cer	tificates	AZ Birth	Certificate
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)										
Is the Data Provisional or Final?							Final		Final	
				Annual C	bjective and Pe	erform	ance Data			
	2010		2011		2012		2013		2014	
Annual Performance Objective	!	81		82		83		84		85
Annual Indicator										
Numerator										

1. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #18 Field Name: PM18

Field Name: PM18
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2008
Field Note:

Data for 2008 are not yet available. The estimate for 2008 is provisionally set at the 2007 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2009.

2. Section Number: Form11_Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data for 2007 are not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008.

FORM 11

TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [SECS 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)]

STATE: AZ

Form Level Notes for Form 11

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1 - REPORTING YEAR

Proportion of low-income women who receive reproductive health/family planning services.

	Annual Objective and Performance Data							
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009			
Annual Performance Objective	11	50	50	51	51			
Annual Indicator	49.2	49.2	49.2	47.4	47.4			
Numerator				129,616	129,616			
Denominator				273,417	273,417			
Data Source				AZ Family Planning Council survey	AZ Family Planning Council survey			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final			

Annual Objective and Performance Data 2012 2013 2014

51 51 51 51 **Annual Performance Objective**

2011

2010

Annual Indicator Future year objectives for state performance measures from needs assessment period 2006-2010 are Numerator view-only. If you are continuing any of these measures in the new needs assessment period, you may Denominator establish objectives for those measures on Form 11 for the new needs assessment period.

Field Level Notes

Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Starting with the 2004 data point, the numerator is women at or below 200% FPL who received family planning services through Title V, Title XIX, or 330/340 Federally Funded Community Health Centers. The denominator is women at or below 200% FPL who are fertile.

Data for this measure is from the Arizona Family Planning Council's Comprehensive Family Planning Report, which is usually conducted annually. However, this report was not produced in 2007. Therefore, the 2005-2007 rates are provisionally set at the 2004 rate the new report is issued in the fall of 2008.

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 - REPORTING YEAR The percent of high school students who are overweight or at-risk for overweight. **Annual Objective and Performance Data** 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 **Annual Performance Objective** 25 25 24.5 24.5 25.5 25.5 25.9 25.9 27.7 **Annual Indicator** Numerator Denominator Arizona Youth Risk Arizona Youth Risk **Data Source** Behavior Survey Behavior Survey Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final **Annual Objective and Performance Data** 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 24 24 24 **Annual Performance Objective** Annual Indicator Future year objectives for state performance measures from needs assessment period 2006-2010 are Numerator view-only. If you are continuing any of these measures in the new needs assessment period, you may Denominator establish objectives for those measures on Form 11 for the new needs assessment period.

Field Level Notes

1. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

The YRBS report is done every two years. For future reporting periods, the indicator will be changed to indicate the percentage of high school students who are overweight and obese. Currently the state performance measure uses the terms overweight and at-risk for overweight.

2. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The YRBS report already provides the calculated percentage. The percent at risk was added to the percent overweight to get the total at-risk +overweight.

The YRBS report is done every two years. The next report available will be for 2009, and the indicator will be changed to indicate the percentage of high school students who are overweight and obese.

3. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

The YRBS report already provides the calculated percentage. The percent at risk was added to the percent overweight to get the total at-risk +overweight.

The YRBS report is done every two years. The next report available will be for 2009.

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE #3 - REPORTING YEAR

The percent of preventable fetal and infant deaths out of all fetal and infant deaths.

	Annual Objective and Performance Data							
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009			
Annual Performance Objective		33	32.5	32	31.5			
Annual Indicator	33.2	25.8	25.0	29.0	31.5			
Numerator	251	191	188	238	256			
Denominator	756	739	753	821	813			
Data Source				AZ Vital Records data	AZ Vital Records data			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final			

Annual Objective and Performance Data 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 31 31 31 31 **Annual Performance Objective**

Annual Indicator Future year objectives for state performance measures from needs assessment period 2006-2010 are Numerator view-only. If you are continuing any of these measures in the new needs assessment period, you may Denominator establish objectives for those measures on Form 11 for the new needs assessment period.

Field Level Notes

1. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

The 2007 birth cohort was used in this analysis.

2. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Data provided is for the 2006 birth cohort, which is the most recent data available.

3. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data provided is for the 2005 birth cohort, which is the most recent data available.

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 - REPORTING YEAR

Emergency department visits for unintentional injuries per 100,000 children age 1-14.

	Annual Objective and Performance Data							
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009			
Annual Performance Objective		7,478	7,477	7,477	7,476			
Annual Indicator	7,174.4	6,902.9	6,681.6	6,835.2	7,077.9			
Numerator	90,201	89,255	92,588	90,940	95,037			
Denominator	1,257,269	1,293,014	1,385,725	1,330,464	1,342,722			
Data Source				AZ Hospital Discharge data	AZ Hospital Discharge data			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final			

Annual Objective and Performance Data

2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 7,476 7,476 7,476 7,476 **Annual Performance Objective**

 $\textbf{Annual Indicator} \ \ \mathsf{Future} \ \mathsf{year} \ \mathsf{objectives} \ \mathsf{for} \ \mathsf{state} \ \mathsf{performance} \ \mathsf{measures} \ \mathsf{from} \ \mathsf{needs} \ \mathsf{assessment} \ \mathsf{period} \ \mathsf{2006-2010} \ \mathsf{are}$ Numerator view-only. If you are continuing any of these measures in the new needs assessment period, you may Denominator establish objectives for those measures on Form 11 for the new needs assessment period.

Field Level Notes

1. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the narrative sections in this grant.

2. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #4 Field Name: SM4

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data for 2007 are not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008.

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 - REPORTING YEAR

The percent of women entering prenatal care during their first trimester in underserved primary care areas.

		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective		48	48	47	47	
Annual Indicator	47.2	50.0	50.4	51.2	52.0	
Numerator	60	62	63	64	65	
Denominator	127	124	125	125	125	
Data Source				AZ Birth Certificate	s AZ Birth Certificates	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	

Annual Objective and Performance Data

2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 46 46 **Annual Performance Objective**

Annual Indicator Future year objectives for state performance measures from needs assessment period 2006-2010 are Numerator view-only. If you are continuing any of these measures in the new needs assessment period, you may Denominator establish objectives for those measures on Form 11 for the new needs assessment period.

Field Level Notes

Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

The numerator is the number of Primary Care Area's (PCA's) with less than 74% of women giving birth receiving prenatal care in the first trimester. The denominator is the total number of PCA's in Arizona.

Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The 2008 data is not available to complete this measure until 2009.

Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data for 2007 are not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008. The numerator is the number of Primary Care Area's (PCA's) with less than 74% of women giving birth receiving prenatal care in the first trimester. The denominator is the total number of PCA's in Arizona. For 2006 the percent of women giving birth in a Arizona Medically Underserved Area (AzMUA) who received prenatal care in the first trimester was 73.8%.

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 - REPORTING YEAR Percent of Medicaid enrollees age 1-18 who received at least one preventive dental service within the last year. **Annual Objective and Performance Data** 2005 2006 2008 2009 43.5 44.5 **Annual Performance Objective** 43 42.6 37.9 41.5 **Annual Indicator** 30.9 34.0 277,345 255,983 170,018 189,423 224,227 Numerator 600,379 550,768 556,516 592,298 668,472 Denominator AZ Medicaid AZ Medicaid **Data Source** (AHCCCS) (AHCCCS) Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Final **Annual Objective and Performance Data** 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 44.5 44.5 44.5 **Annual Performance Objective**

Annual Indicator Future year objectives for state performance measures from needs assessment period 2006-2010 are

Numerator view-only. If you are continuing any of these measures in the new needs assessment period, you may

Denominator establish objectives for those measures on Form 11 for the new needs assessment period.

Field Level Notes

None

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE #8 - REPORTING YEAR

Percent of children and youth with special health care needs who have access to service.

	Annual Objective and Performance Data				
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective				0.1	0.2
Annual Indicator			14.0	13.5	13.6
Numerator			32,631	32,738	33,188
Denominator			232,545	243,314	243,314
Data Source				OCSHCN program reports	OCSHCN program information
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

 Annual Objective and Performance Data

 2010
 2011
 2012
 2013
 2014

 Annual Performance Objective
 0.2
 0.3
 0.3
 0.2

Annual Indicator Future year objectives for state performance measures from needs assessment period 2006-2010 are

Numerator view-only. If you are continuing any of these measures in the new needs assessment period, you may

Denominator establish objectives for those measures on Form 11 for the new needs assessment period.

Field Level Notes

1. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

The 2009 indicator uses the same population estimate as 2008. This number is an estimate of all residents of Arizona under the age of 21 who meet the functional definition of CSHCN from the NS-CSHCN.

2. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The population estimate for all residents of Arizona under age 21 is 1,946,515. SLAITS estimates that 12.5 percent of children under age 18 have a special health care need. This percentage was applied to the population up to age 21, as the best estimate of the proportion for that population subgroup. The number of children and youth with special health care needs under age 21 living in Arizona is estimated as 1,946,515 X 0.125 = 243,314.

3. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

The population estimate for all residents of Arizona under age 21 is 1,860,359. SLAITS estimates that 12.5 percent of children under age 18 have a special health care need. This percentage was applied to the population up to age 21, as the best estimate of the proportion for that population subgroup. The number of children and youth with special health care needs under age 21 living in Arizona is estimated as 1,860,359 X 0.125 = 232,545.

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 - REPORTING YEAR Percentage of state MCH programs that formally incorporate screening for behavioral health issues. **Annual Objective and Performance Data** 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 **Annual Performance Objective** 40 33.3 36.8 **Annual Indicator** 7 6 Numerator 19 18 Denominator BWCH and BWCH and **Data Source** OCSHCN data. OCSHCN data. Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Final **Annual Objective and Performance Data** 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014

45

Annual Performance Objective

Annual Indicator Future year objectives for state performance measures from needs assessment period 2006-2010 are Numerator view-only. If you are continuing any of these measures in the new needs assessment period, you may Denominator establish objectives for those measures on Form 11 for the new needs assessment period.

45

Field Level Notes

1. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

The programs included in this measure were; the Health Start Program, High Risk Perinatal Program, Teen Pregnancy Prevention program, Family Planning Program, Project Launch, Sexual Violence Prevention and Education Program, Community Health Services Grant, Early Childhood Health Consultation, Rural Safe Home Network, Office of Children with Special Health Care Needs programs, and Dental Sealant and Early Childhood Carries programs (Office of Oral Health).

Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The programs included in this measure were; the Health Start Program, High Risk Perinatal Program, Teen Pregnancy Prevention program, Family Planning Program, Project Launch, Sexual Violence Prevention and Education Program, County Prenatal Block Grant program, Community Health Services Grant, Early Childhood Health Consultation, Rural Safe Home Network, Office of Children with Special Health Care Needs programs, and Dental Sealant and Early Childhood Carries programs (Office of Oral Health).

Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

The programs included in this measure were; the Health Start Program, High Risk Perinatal Program, Teen Pregnancy Prevention program, Family Planning Program, Sexual Violence Prevention and Education Program, County Prenatal Block Grant program, Community Health Services Grant, early childhood health consultation, Rural Safe Home Network, Office of Children with Special Health Care Needs programs, and Dental Sealant and Early Childhood Carries programs (Office of Oral Health).

40

33.3

6

18

50

FORM 12

TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES

[SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)]

STATE: AZ

Form Level Notes for Form 12

None

OUTCOME MEASURE # 01					
The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.2	6.1
Annual Indicator	6.8	6.3	6.8	6.3	5.9
Numerator	653	642	701	625	547
Denominator	95,798	102,042	102,687	99,215	92,616
Data Source				AZ Health Status and Vital Statistics	Arizona Health Status and Vital Statistics
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	5.9	5.9	5.8	5.8	5.7
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only th not required for futu		above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and a	Annual Indicators are

Field Level Notes

1. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

When compared to the annual performance objective (6.1 per 1,000 live births), the infant mortality rate of 5.9 per 1,000 live births in 2009 resulted in 18 fewer expected infant deaths. It should be noted that the 2009 infant mortality rate is the lowest experienced by Arizona during the 5-year reporting period. However, rapid changes in the population of reproductive age women living in Arizona may lead to unstable rates in the future.

2. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

When compared to the annual performance objective (6.2 per 1,000 live births), the infant mortality rate of 6.8 per 1,000 live births in 2007 resulted in 64 excess infant deaths. The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the previous analysis.

3. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data for 2007 are not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008.

OUTCOME MEASURE # 02					
The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality r	rate.	A	Objective and Denfer		
	2005	2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
Annual Indicator		3.1	2.7	3.5	3.6
Numerator		16.8	15.1	17.7	17.1
Denominator		5.4	5.6	5.1	4.8
Data Source				AZ Health Status and Vital Statistics	Arizona Health Status and Vital Statistics
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	manç <u>e Data</u>	
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
Annual Indicator		l - Olivert en forste e			A
Numerator	not required for fut		above years. Numerai	tor, Denominator and A	Annuai indicators are

1. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

When compared to the 2009 annual performance objective (1.8 per 1,000 live births), the ratio of Black infant mortality to White infant mortality (3.6 per 1,000 live births) resulted in 38 excess infant deaths among the Black infants. Although the infant mortality rate for Black infants declined slightly from 2008 to 2009, the White non-Hispanic rate declined also

2. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

When compared to the 2007 annual performance objective (1.8 per 1,000 live births), the ratio of Black infant mortality to White infant mortality (2.7 per 1,000 live births) resulted in 21 excess infant deaths among the Black infants. The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the previous analysis.

3. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data for 2007 are not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008.

Denominator

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03					
The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
		Annual C	Objective and Perfori	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	4.2	4.1	4	4	4
Annual Indicator	4.4	4.3	4.7	4.2	3.9
Numerator	424	440	479	419	361
Denominator	95,798	102,042	102,687	99,215	92,616
Data Source				AZ Health Status and Vital Statistics	Arizona Health Status and Vital Statistics
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied.					
(Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.) Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (Objective and Perfori	mance Data	
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.6
	Please fill in only th not required for futu		above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and A	Annual Indicators are

1. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

When compared to the 2008 annual performance objective (4.0 per 1,000 live births), the neonatal infant mortality rate (3.9 per 1,000 live births) resulted in approximately the same number neonatal infant deaths per 1,000 live births.

2. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

When compared to the 2007 annual performance objective (4.0 per 1,000 live births), the neonatal infant mortality rate (4.7 per 1,000 live births) resulted in 68 excess neonatal infant deaths. Data for 2008 are not yet available. The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the previous analysis.

3. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data for 2007 are not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008.

OUTCOME MEASURE # 04					
The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	2.2	2.1	2.1	2	2
Annual Indicator	2.4	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.0
Numerator	229	202	222	206	186
Denominator	95,798	102,042	102,687	99,215	92,616
Data Source				AZ Health Status and Vital Statistics	Arizona Health Status and Vital Statistics
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7
Annual Indicator		ha Objectives for the s	phoyo yooro Numoro	tor Denominator and	Annual Indicators or
Numerator	not required for fut		above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and A	Annuai muicatois ai

Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 4
 Field Name: OM04

Field Name: OM0 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

When compared to the 2008 annual performance objective (2.0 per 1,000 live births), the postneonatal mortality rate (2.0 per 1,000 live births) resulted in the same number of postneonatal infant deaths per 1,000 live births.

2. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

When compared to the 2007 annual performance objective (2.0 per 1,000 live births), the postneonatal mortality rate (2.2 per 1,000 live births) resulted in six excess deaths among postneonatal infants. The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the previous analysis.

3. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data for 2007 are not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008.

Denominator

The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.						
The permutal mortality rate per 1,000 live bitting plantetal deaths.		Δ,	nnual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	illuai C	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	9.5		9.5	6	6	5.9
Annual Indicator	6.1		5.9	6.3	6.4	6.4
Numerator	588		599	649	632	
Denominator	96,064	102	2,301	102,977	99,508	
Data Source		'			AZ Health Status and Vital Statistics	AZ Health Status and Vital Statistic
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Provisional
		<u>A</u>	nnual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2010	2011		2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	5.9		5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only t not required for fu		or the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators a

1. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

Field Note:
Data for 2009 were not available at the time of this grant application.

2. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

When compared to the 2007 annual performance objective (6.0 per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths), the perinatal mortality rate (6.3 per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths) resulted in 33 excess infant and/or fetal deaths. Data for 2008 were not available when the descriptive analyses and program narratives were completed.

3. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 5 Field Name: OM05

Field Name: ON Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data for 2007 are not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008.

OUTCOME MEASURE # 00					
OUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The shill death rate per 100 000 shilldree aged 1 through 11					
The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.					
	2025		Objective and Perfor		0000
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	23.5	23	22.5	22	22.5
Annual Indicator	22.7	21.5	19.5	19.7	20.2
Numerator	285	278	256	262	271
Denominator	1,257,269	1,293,014	1,310,138	1,330,464	1,342,722
Data Source				AZ Health Status and Vital Statistics	Arizona Health Status and Vital Statistics
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2.The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied.					
(Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.) Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective	20	20	19	19	18
Annual Indicator					
Numerator	Please fill in only th not required for futu		above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and <i>I</i>	Annual Indicators are
Denominator		ne year data.			

1. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

When compared to the 2009 annual performance objective (22.0 per 100,000 children), the child death rate (20.2 per 1,000 live births) in 2009 resulted in 24 fewer child deaths per 100,000 in this age cohort.

2. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

When compared to the 2008 annual performance objective (22.0per 100,000 children), the child death rate (19.7 per 100,000 live births) in 2008 resulted in 31 fewer child deaths.

3. Section Number: Form12_Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data for 2007 are not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008.

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: AZ

Form Level Notes for Form 12

None

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: AZ 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 3 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 3 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 3 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 3 Total Score: ____18 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met 3 = Completely Met

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 13

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: AZ FY: 2011

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase, list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. REDUCE THE RATE OF TEEN PREGNANCY AMONG YOUTH LESS THAN 19 YEARS OF AGE
- 2. IMPROVE THE PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES WHO ARE AT A HEALTHY WEIGHT
- 3. IMPROVE THE HEALTH OF WOMEN PRIOR TO PREGNANCY
- 4. REDUCE THE RATE OF INJURIES, BOTH INTENTIONAL AND UNINTENTIONAL, AMONG ARIZONANS
- IMPROVE ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF PREVENTIVE HEALTH SERVICES FOR CHILDREN
- 6. IMPROVE THE ORAL HEALTH OF ARIZONANS
- 7. IMPROVE THE BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF WOMEN AND CHILDREN
- 8. REDUCE UNMET NEED FOR HEARING SERVICES
- 9. PREPARE CYSHCN FOR TRANSITION TO ADULTHOOD
- 10. PROMOTE INCLUSION OF CSHCN IN ALL ASPECTS OF LIFE

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 14
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: AZ APPLICATION YEAR: 2011

	Community of Test of the Community of Test of	Description of Technical Assistance	Reason(s) Why Assistance	What State, Organization or
No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Requested (max 250 characters)	Is Needed (max 250 characters)	Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
1.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	The ADHS Office of Oral Health requests additional training assistance to create and enhance coordination between ADHS and other state and non-state agencies to promote oral health priorities	Lack of coordination between agencies to promote oral health. Need to integrate oral health interventions into other health programs.	HRSA conference and/or workshop to demonstrate successful program integration strategies.
2.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	ADHS Bureau of Women's and Children's Health requests that HRSA make attendance at the annual MCH Epidemiology Conference mandatory as part of the Title V MCH Grant.	Arizona budget restrictions prevent attendance at out of state conferences or trainings unless madated by federal grants.	HRSA can integrate this requirement into the Title V MCH Grant requirements.
3.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
4.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
10.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 15

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: AZ

SP(Reporting Year) #____1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Proportion of low-income women who receive reproductive health/family planning services.

STATUS: Ad

GOAL To improve the health status of women of childbearing age (15-44 years).

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of women of low economic status (at or below 200% of federal poverty line) age 15-44 who receive reproductive

health and family planning services.

Denominator:

9-1

Number of women of low economic status (at or below 200% of federal poverty line) age 15-44.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Increase to at least 70% the proportion of all pregnancies among women 15-44 that are planned.

Data Sources and Data Issues

In an effort to provide a timely and reasonable estimate of the need for family planning services in Arizona, the Arizona Family Planning Council conducts an annual needs assessment. The needs assessment compares the number of low-income women who are potentially in need of family planning services (women 15 through 44 with an income at or below

200 percent federal poverty level who are fertile) to the number of these women reported to receive free or subsidized family planning services through publicly funded resources. Publicly funded service networks work collaboratively with

Arizona Family Planning Council to provide data for this report.

SIGNIFICANCE Publicly funded family planning services are provided through Title V, Title X, AHCCCS, county governments, and

reducibly further taring planning control and provided in the structure of the structure of

intended their pregnancy, while less than one fourth (22 percent) of women under the age of 20 indicated that their pregnancy was intended. For those women under the age of 20, 57 percent said that the pregnancy was mistimed and 22

percent said that it was unwanted.

SP(Reporting Year) #_

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of high school students who are overweight or at-risk for overweight.

STATUS:

GOAL

Reduce the percent of high school students who are overweight or at-risk for overweight.

DEFINITION

Youth Risk Behavior Survey calculates body mass index from students' self reports of heights and weights, and categorizes them using CDC criteria as normal, underweight, overweight, and at-risk for becoming overweight.

Numerator:

The weighted number of students classified as either "overweight" or "at-risk for overweight" in the YRBS.

Denominator:

The weighted number of all students in the Youth Risk Behavior Survey.

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

19-3

Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who are overweight or obese.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Youth Risk Behavior Survey calculates body mass index from students' self reports of heights and weights, and categorizes

them using CDC criteria as normal, underweight, overweight, and at-risk for becoming overweight.

SIGNIFICANCE

The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey found that there has been an increase in overweight 12-19 year-olds from 5% to 16% over the last 40 years. While maintaining a healthy weight is important for people of all ages, being overweight during childhood can carry life-long health consequences. The Surgeon General's Call to Action to Prevent and Decrease Overweight and Obesity states: "Risk factors for heart disease, such as high cholesterol and high blood pressure, occur with increased frequency in overweight children and adolescents compared to children with a healthy weight. Type 2 diabetes, previously considered an adult disease, has increased dramatically in children and adolescents. Overweight and obesity are closely linked to type 2 diabetes. Overweight adolescents have a 70% chance of becoming overweight or obese

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of preventable fetal and infant deaths out of all fetal and infant deaths.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Reduce infant mortality by reducing the percent of preventable fetal and infant deaths.

Using the CDC Periods of Risk Model, excess deaths will be identified by comparing death rates of various subgroups to **DEFINITION**

infant death rates of White women age 20 and older with more than 12 years of education.

Total number of excess deaths identified by the Periods of Risk analysis.

Denominator:

Total number of fetal and infant deaths.

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 16-1

Reduce the fetal(fetal deaths at 20 or more weeks of gestation) to no more than 4.1 per 1,000 live births, and reduce the

infant mortality rate to no more than 5 per 1,000 live births.

Arizona infant and fetal death certificates will be matched to birth certificate data using the CDC Periods of Risk Model. **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

Deaths will be classified into periods of risk by weight and gestational age at the time of death, and excess death rates will

be calculated by race/ethnicity, age, and education level.

Although infant mortality in Arizona has declined, disparities remain in the rates of death among various subgroups of the **SIGNIFICANCE**

population. African American, American Indian, and Hispanic infants die at higher rates than White infants, as do infants born to less educated women and teens. While not all infant mortality can be prevented, disparities suggest that

interventions directed at excess mortality within high-risk populations provide an opportunity for further progress.

Page 73 of 133

SP(Reporting Year) #_____4

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Emergency department visits for unintentional injuries per 100,000 children age 1-14.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the rate of emergency department visits for unintentional injuries among children age 1-14.

DEFINITION

SIGNIFICANCE

Numerator:

The number of outpatient emergency department visits for non-fatal unintentional injuries and poisonings for children age 1-

14.

Denominator:

The population of children age 1-14.

Units: 100000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE Injury/violence Prevention Objective 9

Reduce emergency department visits caused by unintentional injuries to no more than 111 per 1,000 people.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES All acute-care hospitals in Arizona, with the exception of federal hospitals (military and Indian Health services), are required

to submit emergency room utilization data to the Arizona Department of Health Services twice a year. The population of

children age 1-14 is based on the Arizona Department of Economic Security population projections.

Injuries, both intentional and unintentional, are among the leading causes of death among children of all ages in Arizona. Unintentional injuries, homicides, and suicides accounted for 79% of all deaths among children 1-14 and 75% of deaths among adolescents age 15-19 in 2003. In addition, there were 6,874 inpatient hospitalizations for non-fatal injuries and

poisonings. Thousands more less severe injuries are seen in emergency rooms as outpatients.

SP(Reporting Year) #_____5

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women entering prenatal care during their first trimester in underserved primary care areas.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To increase the percent of women entering prenatal care during their first trimesters in underserved primary care areas.

DEFINITIONUnderserved primary care areas, for the purpose of this measure, are defined as areas in which fewer than 74 percent of

women received a prenatal visit within their first trimester in 2003.

Numerator

The number of women in underserved primary care areas who received a prenatal visit during their first trimester of

pregnancy.

Denominator:

The number of women in underserved primary care areas who delivered a live birth.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 16-6

Increase the proportion of pregnant women who receive early and adequate prenatal care to 90%.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Arizona birth certificate data contains information that allows it to be aggregate into primary care areas. Birth certificates will

SIGNIFICANCE Prenatal care is an opportunity to identify risks and mitigate their impact on pregnancy outcomes through medical

be the source of both the numerator and denominator for this measure.

management. Prenatal visits also offer an opportunity for education and counseling on proper nutrition and risk factors, such as smoking and alcohol use during pregnancy. Prenatal care is more effective when women enter care early in their pregnancy. There are many areas of the state where the percent of women entering prenatal care early lags behind the

statewide average.

Page 75 of 133

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Medicaid enrollees age 1-18 who received at least one preventive dental service within the last year.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To increase the percent of high-risk children who receive preventive dental services each year to 57%.

DEFINITION

Numerator:
Line 12B, "Total eligibles receiving preventive dental services," sum of columns "1-2," "3-5," "6-9," "10-14," "15-18."

Denominator:

Line 1, "Total individuals eligible for EPSDT," sum of columns "1-2," "3-5," "6-9," "10-14," "15-18."

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

21-12

Increase the proportion of low-income children and adolescents who received any preventive dental service during the past

year.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

AHCCCS produces an annual "Form HCFA-416: Annual EPSDT Participation Report for Funding Source: Title XIX"

containing dental utilization data, including information on preventive dental services.

SIGNIFICANCE

U. S. Surgeon General David Satcher deemed dental disease the "silent epidemic," yet, it is preventable with early intervention and the promotion of evidence-based prevention efforts like dental sealants. In an effort to improve health and well being it is imperative that we target interventions at preventing dental disease, especially in high-risk children. Although children enrolled in Medicaid are fully covered for dental services, they often fail to receive preventive dental care.

Page 76 of 133

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children and youth with special health care needs who have access to service.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase the number of children and young adults who are receiving services.

DEFINITION Percent of children and youth with special health care needs who have access to service.

Numerator:

Number of children and young adults under age 21 who are known to have received services through OCSHCN programs, including enrollees of CRS, FRC, AzEIP, Raising Special kids, and people who were known to be linked to other services through the SSI project and other OCSHCN information and referral activity, and those who received services through the Newborn Screening Program and were not enrolled in CRS.

Denominator:

Number of children and young adults under age 21 who are estimated to live in Arizona. Number of residents under age 21 times the percent of children under age 18 who are estimated to have a SHCN by the SLAITS survey.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

16-23 **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE**

Increase the proportion of Territories and States that have service systems for children with special health care needs.

Increase the proportion of persons who have a specific source of ongoing care.

Denominator: SLAITS data and Arizona Department of Economic Security population estimates. Numerator: Program data from CRS, FRC, Raising Special Kids, Newborn Screening, AzEIP, OCSHCN information and referral, and the SSI Project. **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

Children with special health care needs and their families require a range of services including preventive and primary health care services, behavioral health services, social services, enabling services such as transportation, and transition services. Different eligibility criteria, duplication and gaps in services, inflexible funding sources, and poor coordination among service sectors create a challenge for families to identify, obtain, and coordinate the care and services they need.

SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percentage of state MCH programs that formally incorporate screening for behavioral health issues.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To increase to proportion of MCH programs that utilize screening tools behavioral health issues.

DEFINITION The percentage of state MCH programs formally incorporate screening for behavioral health issues.

Numerator: The number for state MCH programs that screen for behvioral health issues.

Denominator:

The number of state MCH programs that address behavioral health issues.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

All Bureau of Women's and Children's Health (BWCH) program managers report the use of behavioral health screening by state MCH programs under their supervision. Additional data is received from non-BWCH prgrams that receive Title- V funding. **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

SIGNIFICANCE During the Needs Assessment public input called for the integration of behavioral health screening into state MCH programs

to address both the physical and behavioral health needs of Arizona's women and children.

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: AZ

Form Level Notes for Form 17

There may be some Medicaid enrollees counted in the numerator more than once due to data issues associated with switching health plans in the reporting year. AHCCCS, the Medicaid agency, is working to resolve the reporting issue.

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 01

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	29.1	27.4	28.1	28.9	28.9
Numerator	1,299	1,323	1,400	1,447	
Denominator	446,162	482,344	499,045	501,481	
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX. Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

Field Level Notes

Section Number: Form17_Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

Data for 2009 are not yet available. The estimate for 2008 is provisionally set at the 2008 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2010.

2. Section Number: Form17_Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Problems with hospital discharge data prevented reporting on this measure for 2002. Data for 2008 are not yet available. The estimate for 2008 is provisionally set at the 2007 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2009.

3. Section Number: Form17_Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Problems with hospital discharge data prevented reporting on this measure for 2002. Data for 2007 are not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008.

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02					
The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year duri	ng the reporting year	r who received at leas	st one initial periodic s	creen.	
			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	96.1	97.6	96.4	99.1	100.0
Numerator	54,373	56,520	58,301	58,861	57,283
Denominator	56,587	57,884	60,473	59,373	57,283
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX. Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

None

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	82.1	78.4	82.5	84.0	82.1
Numerator	517	580	721	646	320
Denominator	630	740	874	769	390
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

None

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	70.1	69.8	70.3	71.7	72.5
Numerator	66,943	70,976	71,865	70,965	66,838
Denominator	95,486	101,749	102,246	98,971	92,162
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.) Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Field Level Notes

1. Section Number: Form17_Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Data for 2008 became available after all program narratives and data analyses were completed.

2. Section Number: Form17_Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 07A					
Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	service paid by the N	Medicaid Program.			
			Annual Indicator Da	ata .	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	73.7	74.5	74.7	76.8	78.5
Numerator	424,014	432,605	434,205	468,812	529,527
Denominator	575,577	580,568	581,632	610,091	674,385
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX. Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final

None

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 07B					
The percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who have received any dental services during the year.					
			Annual Indicator Da	<u>nta</u>	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	45.5	54.1	56.6	59.6	58.9
Numerator	54,909	66,522	71,063	80,349	90,721
Denominator	120,763	122,975	125,470	134,811	153,910
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX. Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

None

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08

The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving rehabilitative services from the State Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Program.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	58.9	43.1	47.4	46.4	100.0
Numerator	8,945	6,627	7,540	7,630	17,327
Denominator	15,189	15,392	15,891	16,443	17,327
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX., Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Field Level Notes

1. Section Number: Form17_Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

All SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old are automatically eligible for Arizona's Medicaid Program, which provides comprehensive rehabilitative services. Title V's role is focused on assuring families are aware of and enroll in SSI. The Social Security Administration provides OCSHCN with the names and adresses of SSI applicants and OCSHCN mails information to families of every applicant under age 21 to ensure that they know what potential services are available. They are also given contact information for OCSHCN staff who is prepared to give guidance on eligibility requirements and application processes for other services.

2. Section Number: Form17_Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The measures for 2004 and 2005 contained duplicate members. The 2006, 2007, and 2008 measures are unduplicated. The 2006 measure looked at the SSI status at the end of the year. Newer measures count a member as SSI eligible if they had any SSI eligibility at any time during the year.

3. Section Number: Form17_Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

The measures for 2004 and 2005 contained duplicate members. The 2006 and 2007 measures are unduplicated. The 2007 measure was correct from what was reported in the 2008 application to include any member with SSI eligibility at any time during the year.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: AZ

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	V=15			POPULATION	
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2009	Payment source from birth certificate	7.3	6.9	7.1
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2009	Matching data files	0	0	5.9
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2009	Payment source from birth certificate	72.6	89.2	80.3
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2009	Payment source from birth certificate	65.3	80.8	72.5

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: AZ

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2009	140_
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 6) (Age range 6 to 18) (Age range 5)	2009	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2009	150

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: AZ

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2009	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 18) (Age range to) (Age range to)	2009	200
c) Pregnant Women	2009	200

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 18

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Form18_Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP_Infant

Row Name: Infants Column Name: Year: 2011 Field Note:

Enrollment in the Arizona KidsCare Program (SCHIP) has been frozen since January 1, 2010 due to lack of funding for the program.

Section Number: Form18_Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP_Children

Row Name: SCHIP Children

Column Name: Year: 2011 Field Note:

Enrollment in the Arizona KidsCare Program (SCHIP) has been frozen since January 1, 2010 due to lack of funding for the program.

Section Number: Form18_Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP_Women Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2011 Field Note:

Enrollment in the Arizona KidsCare Program (SCHIP) has been frozen since January 1, 2010 due to lack of funding for the program.

Section Number: Form18_Indicator 05

Field Name: InfantDeath

Row Name: Infant deaths per 1,000 live births

Column Name: Year: 2011 Field Note:

Current insurance status is not indicated on the Arizona Death Certificate.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: AZ

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	2	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	1	No
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes
Annual birth defects surveillance system	1	No
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	1	No

*Where:

1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: AZ

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)		
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	No		
Other: Arizona Youth Survey	3	No		

*Where: 1 = No

2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group. 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 19

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Form19_Indicator 09A

Field Name: BAD

Row Name: Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates

Column Name: Year: 2011 Field Note:

Starting with 2008 deaths, the Arizona Office of Vital Records adopted the 2003 U.S. Standard Death Certificate and Vital Statistics Information Management System. Unfortunately more than 50 percent of all infant deaths in 2008 and 2009 lacked a birth certificate number in the new system. This is delaying the creation of a prospective infant death cohort needed for a three year Perinatal Periods of Risk Analysis.

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: AZ

Form Level Notes for Form 20

None

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A					
The percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	6.9	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1
Numerator	6,640	7,266	7,285	7,026	6,573
Denominator	95,798	102,042	102,687	99,215	92,616
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.				Final	Final
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	-			Final	Final

Field Level Notes

Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #01A
 Field Name: HSI01A

Row Name: HS Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the narrative sections in this grant.

2. Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #01A Field Name: HSI01A

Row Name: HSI Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data for 2007 is not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until the data becomes available in the Fall of 2008.

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B					
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	5.5	5.7	5.6	5.6	5.7
Numerator	5,162	5,632	5,599	5,392	5,123
Denominator	93,173	99,216	99,889	96,347	90,032
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX. Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #01B Field Name: HSI01B

Field Name: HSI0 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The data for 2008 were not available when descriptive analyses and program narratives were completed.

2. Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #01B Field Name: HSI01B

Field Name: HSI01E Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A					
The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	<u>nta</u>	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Numerator	1,119	1,229	1,223	1,156	1,106
Denominator	95,798	102,042	102,687	99,215	92,616
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.) Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Field Name: HSI02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The data for 2008 were not available when descriptive analyses and program narratives were completed.

2. Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #02A Field Name: HSI02A

Field Name: HSI02/ Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B					
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	ata .	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0
Numerator	856	882	902	850	856
Denominator	93,173	99,216	99,889	96,347	90,032
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX. Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #02B Field Name: HSI02B

Field Name: HSI02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Data for 2008 were not available when the descriptive analyses and program narratives were completed.

2. Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #02B Field Name: HSI02B

Field Name: HSI02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03A The death rate per 100,000 due to unintentional injuries among childr	en aged 14 years an	nd younger.			
			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ıta</u>	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	10.1	8.6	8.9	7.8	7.6
Numerator	136	119	126	108	109
Denominator	1,347,557	1,390,127	1,412,725	1,379,172	1,434,985
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX. Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #03A Field Name: HSI03A

Field Name: HSIG Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Data for 2008 not yet available. Estimates for 2008 are provisionally set at the 2007 rate until data becomes available in the Fall of 2009.

2. Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #03A Field Name: HSI03A

Field Name: HSI03, Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2.The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B						
Annual Indicator 4.3 4.0 4.0 2.7 Numerator 58 56 57 39 Denominator 1,347,557 1,390,127 1,412,725 1,429,459 1,434 Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2.The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be	The death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children a	ged 14 years and ye	ounger due to motor	vehicle crashes.			
Annual Indicator 4.3 4.0 4.0 2.7 Numerator 58 56 57 39 Denominator 1,347,557 1,390,127 1,412,725 1,429,459 1,434 Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be		Annual Indicator Data					
Numerator 58 56 57 39 Denominator 1,347,557 1,390,127 1,412,725 1,429,459 1,434 Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Denominator 1,347,557 1,390,127 1,412,725 1,429,459 1,434 1	Annual Indicator	4.3	4.0	4.0	2.7	3.5	
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2.The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be	Numerator	58	56	57	39	50	
There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be	Denominator	1,347,557	1,390,127	1,412,725	1,429,459	1,434,985	
applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.) Is the Data Provisional or Final Final Final	There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.)						

1. Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Estimates for 2001 on reflect motor vehicle death rates for all children ages 0-14. Prior to 2001, estimates were based on ages 1-14. Data for 2006 not yet available.

The 2008 data were not available prior to completion of the descriptive analyses and program narratives.

2. Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Estimates for 2001 on reflect motor vehicle death rates for all children ages 0-14. Prior to 2001, estimates were based on ages 1-14. Data for 2006 not yet available. Data for 2007 not yet available. Estimates for 2007 are provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data becomes available in the Fall of 2008.

	r vehicle crashes among youth aged 15 through 24 years. Annual Indicator Data							
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009			
Annual Indicator	29.8	32.4	26.7	22.1	16.8			
Numerator	256	287	237	200	153			
Denominator	859,454	885,751	889,177	903,796	912,687			
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.) Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final			

Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #03C Field Name: HSI03C

Field Name: HSI03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the narrative sections in this grant.

2. Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #03C Field Name: HSI03C

Field Name: HSI03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04A					
The rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 y	ears and younger.				
			Annual Indicator Da	ata .	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	251.6	190.0	177.2	163.6	235.9
Numerator	3,391	2,641	2,504	2,338	3,385
Denominator	1,347,557	1,390,127	1,412,725	1,429,459	1,434,985
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX. Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Field Name: HSI04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the narrative sections in this grant.

2. Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #04A Field Name: HSI04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04B					
The rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	s among children age	ed 14 years and youn	nger.		
			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	56.5	50.7	45.3	34.3	38.6
Numerator	762	705	640	491	554
Denominator	1,347,557	1,390,127	1,412,725	1,429,459	1,434,985
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX. Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #04B Field Name: HSI04B

Field Name: HSI0 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the narrative sections in this grant.

2. Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #04B Field Name: HSI04B

Field Name: HSI04E Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C The rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	s among youth aged	15 through 24 years.			
			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	232.2	211.2	206.6	165.2	161.1
Numerator	1,996	1,871	1,837	1,493	1,471
Denominator	859,454	885,751	889,177	903,796	912,887
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied. (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX.) Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #04C Field Name: HSI04C

Page Name: HSI04C

Field Name: HSIO Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the narrative sections in this grant.

2. Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #04C Field Name: HSI04C

Field Name: HSI040 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A					
The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported	case of chlamydia.				
			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	26.2	29.0	30.7	30.2	30.7
Numerator	5,451	6,188	6,600	6,595	6,771
Denominator	208,105	213,698	215,079	218,545	220,555
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX. Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Field Name: HSI05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Data for 2008 were not available when descriptive analyses and program narratives were completed.

2. Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #05A Field Name: HSI05A

Field Name: HSI05/ Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B					
The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported	case of chlamydia.				
			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Indicator	9.6	11.2	10.7	8.5	10.5
Numerator	10,329	11,849	11,652	11,265	11,855
Denominator	1,075,048	1,061,924	1,085,698	1,326,554	1,124,281
Check this box if you cannot report the numerator because 1. There are fewer than 5 events over the last year, and 2. The average number of events over the last 3 years is fewer than 5 and therefore a 3-year moving average cannot be applied (Explain data in a year note. See Guidance, Appendix IX. Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #05B Field Name: HSI05B

Field Name: HSI05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Data for 2008 were not available when the descriptive analyses and program narratives were completed.

2. Section Number: Form20_Health Status Indicator #05B Field Name: HSI05B

Field Name: HSI05E Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

STATE: AZ

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics) For both parts A and B: Reporting Year: 2009 Is this data from a State Projection? Yes Is this data final or provisional? Final

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	92,263	78,130	4,339	6,333	3,461	0	0	0
Children 1 through 4	406,201	351,731	19,094	24,248	11,128	0	0	0
Children 5 through 9	469,372	402,791	23,575	30,790	12,216	0	0	0
Children 10 through 14	467,149	397,027	24,055	34,432	11,635	0	0	0
Children 15 through 19	456,079	385,402	22,379	37,034	11,264	0	0	0
Children 20 through 24	456,808	391,941	20,568	31,888	12,411	0	0	0
Children 0 through 24	2,347,872	2,007,022	114,010	164,725	62,115	0	0	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	
Infants 0 to 1	53,749	38,514	0	
Children 1 through 4	230,945	175,256	0	
Children 5 through 9	280,692	188,680	0	
Children 10 through 14	293,179	173,970	0	
Children 15 through 19	303,415	152,664	0	
Children 20 through 24	305,874	150,934	0	
Children 0 through 24	1,467,854	880,018	0	

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AZ

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	132	107	6	17	2	0	0	0
Women 15 through 17	3,519	2,920	181	394	18	0	0	6
Women 18 through 19	7,319	6,047	416	759	68	0	0	29
Women 20 through 34	70,044	59,410	3,292	4,427	2,577	0	0	338
Women 35 or older	11,602	9,677	483	573	731	0	0	138
Women of all ages	92,616	78,161	4,378	6,170	3,396	0	0	511

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	
Women < 15	45	87	0	
Women 15 through 17	1,248	2,253	6	
Women 18 through 19	3,318	4,001	29	
Women 20 through 34	41,656	28,028	338	
Women 35 or older	7,476	3,993	138	
Women of all ages	53,743	38,362	511	

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	547	407	75	52	13	0	0	0
Children 1 through 4	127	100	7	15	4	0	0	1
Children 5 through 9	69	57	5	4	3	0	0	0
Children 10 through 14	75	60	5	9	0	0	0	1
Children 15 through 19	262	205	10	34	6	6	0	1
Children 20 through 24	401	308	24	61	6	0	0	2
Children 0 through 24	1,481	1,137	126	175	32	6	0	5

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	
Infants 0 to 1	311	236	0	
Children 1 through 4	72	54	1	
Children 5 through 9	38	31	0	
Children 10 through 14	34	40	1	
Children 15 through 19	160	101	1	
Children 20 through 24	273	126	2	
Children 0 through 24	888	588	5	

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,891,064	1,615,081	93,442	132,837	49,704	0	0	0	2009
Percent in household headed by single parent	23.1	21.1	42.8	31.0	13.3	24.0	27.3	25.2	2009
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	3.5	3.1	8.6	5.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2009
Number enrolled in Medicaid	821,408	644,324	56,218	88,467	13,969	0	0	18,430	2009
Number enrolled in SCHIP	36,107	29,650	1,036	2,826	847	0	0	1,748	2009
Number living in foster home care	20,516	15,499	2,831	1,431	169	0	0	586	2009
Number enrolled in food stamp program	454,602	358,643	36,143	50,934	3,564	1,492	0	3,826	2009
Number enrolled in WIC	267,162	241,369	15,988	5,365	3,146	1,294	0	0	2009
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	4,923.6	4,909.1	8,205.2	3,354.1	1,432.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2009
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	4.8	5.3	5.6	11.3	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2008

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,161,980	729,084	0	2009
Percent in household headed by single parent	24.8	23.5	0.0	2009
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	2.7	4.8	0.0	2009
Number enrolled in Medicaid	424,969	396,439	18,430	2009
Number enrolled in SCHIP	16,098	20,009	1,748	2009
Number living in foster home care	12,170	7,760	586	2009
Number enrolled in food stamp program	194,731	256,018	3,826	2009
Number enrolled in WIC	73,545	171,407	0	2009
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	4,616.2	5,456.7	0.0	2009
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	4.6	7.3	0.0	2008

HSI #10 - Demographi	ics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2009	Is this data from a State Projection? Yes — Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	1,874,757	
Living in urban areas	1,429,900	
Living in rural areas	429,547	
Living in frontier areas	<u>31,617</u>	
Total - all children 0 through 19	1,891,064	

Note: The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL	
Total Population	6,595,778.0	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	6.9	
100% of poverty	18.0	
200% of poverty	37.1	

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics) Reporting Year: 2009 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Final

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	1,891,064.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	9.2
100% of poverty	<u>25.3</u>
200% of poverty	48.2

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 11

TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [SECS 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: AZ

Form Level Notes for Form 11

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1 - NEW FOR NEEDS ASS	SESSMENT CYCLE 2	2011-2015	5		
The percent of high school students who report having experienced p	hysical violence by a	dating part	tner during the past 12 months.		
			Annual Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective					
Annual Indicator					
Numerator	·				
Denominator					
Data Source					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					
				_	
			Annual Objective and Perfor		
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Annual Performance Objective					
Annual Indicator		r prolimina	ry objectives for State Derforms	naa Maaaur	on for the Needs Assessment
Numerator	Period 2011-2015,	this is not re	ry objectives for State Performa equired until next year.	ince measure	es for the Needs Assessment
Denominator			,		

Field Level Notes

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 - NEW FOR NEEDS ASSESSMENT CYCLE 2011-2015							
The percent of high school students who are overweight or obese.							
		Ar	nnual Objective and Pe	rformance Data			
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		
Annual Performance Objective				_			
Annual Indicator				_			
Numerator				_			
Denominator			<u> </u>				
Data Source							
Is the Data Provisional or Final?							
		Δn	nnual Objective and Pe	rformance Data			
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
Annual Performance Objective				_			
Annual Indicator	Mhile vey mov e	ntor proliminor,	shipativas for State Dorf	armanaa Maaayiraa f	iartha Naada Aasaamant		
Numerator	While you may enter preliminary objectives for State Performance Measures for the Needs Assessment Period 2011-2015, this is not required until next year.						
Denominator			·				

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3 - NEW FOR NEEDS ASSESSMENT CYCLE 2011-2015 The percent of preventable fetal and infant deaths out of all fetal and infant deaths. **Annual Objective and Performance Data** 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 32.5 **Annual Performance Objective** 33 32 31.5 33.2 **Annual Indicator** 25.8 25.0 29.0 31.5 251 191 188 238 256 Numerator 813 756 739 753 821 Denominator AZ Vital Records AZ Vital Records **Data Source** data data Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Final **Annual Objective and Performance Data** 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 31 31 31 31 **Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator** Numerator While you may enter preliminary objectives for State Performance Measures for the Needs Assessment Period 2011-2015, this is not required until next year. Denominator

Field Level Notes

1. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2009 Field Note:

The 2007 birth cohort was used in this analysis.

2. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

Data provided is for the 2006 birth cohort, which is the most recent data available.

3. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data provided is for the 2005 birth cohort, which is the most recent data available.

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 - NEW FOR NEEDS ASSESSMENT CYCLE 2011-2015							
Emergency department visits for unintentional injuries per 100,000 ch	ildren age 1-14.						
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data			
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		
Annual Performance Objective	•	7,478	7,477	7,477	7,476		
Annual Indicator	7,174.4	6,902.9	6,681.6	6,835.2	7,077.9		
Numerator	90,201	89,255	92,588	90,940	95,037		
Denominator	1,257,269	1,293,014	1,385,725	1,330,464	1,342,722		
Data Source	•			AZ Hospital Discharge data	AZ Hospital Discharge data		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•						
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data			
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
Annual Performance Objective	7,000	6,900	6,800	6,650	6,500		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	While you may ento Period 2011-2015,	er preliminary objectiv this is not required ur		ance Measures for the	e Needs Assessment		

1. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2008 Field Note:

The 2008 data was not available prior to completion of the narrative sections in this grant.

2. Section Number: Form11_State Performance Measure #4 Field Name: SM4 Row Name:

Field Name: SM Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2007 Field Note:

Data for 2007 are not yet available. The estimate for 2007 is provisionally set at the 2006 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2008.

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 - NEW FOR NEEDS ASSESSMENT CYCLE 2011-2015							
The percent of women having a subsequent pregnancy during the inte	er-pregnancy interval	of 18-59 months.					
			Objective and Perfor				
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		
Annual Performance Objective							
Annual Indicator							
Numerator							
Denominator							
Data Source							
Is the Data Provisional or Final?							
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data			
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
Annual Performance Objective							
Annual Indicator		r proliminant abioat	ivaa far Stata Darfarma	unaa Maaayiraa faritha	Needs Assessment		
Numerator	Period 2011-2015, t	this is not required u	ives for State Performa Intil next year.	ince Measures for the	Needs Assessment		
Denominator			-				

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 - NEW FOR NEEDS ASS	ESSMENT CYCLE	2011-2015					
Percent of Medicaid enrollees age 1-14 who received at least one preventive dental service within the last year.							
	Annual Objective and Performance Data						
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		
Annual Performance Objective							
Annual Indicator			_				
Numerator							
Denominator			_				
Data Source							
Is the Data Provisional or Final?							
	Annual Objective and Performance Data						
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
		2011	2012	2013	2014		
Annual Performance Objective							
Annual Indicator	While you may ont	or proliminary obje	ectives for State Perform	anaa Maaayraa far tha	Noods Assessment		
	Period 2011-2015,			ance measures for the	Neeus Assessment		
Denominator		,	-				

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7 - NEW FOR NEEDS ASSESSMENT CYCLE 2011-2015							
Percent of women age 18 years and older who suffer from frequent mental distress.							
		Annual Objective and Performance Data					
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		
Annual Performance Objective							
Annual Indicator							
Numerator							
Denominator							
Data Source							
Is the Data Provisional or Final?							
	Annual Objective and Performance Data						
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
Annual Performance Objective							
		er preliminary objec this is not required	tives for State Performa	ance Measures for the	Needs Assessment		
Denominator	renou 2011-2015,	this is not required	unui next year.				

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE #8 - NEW FOR NEEDS ASS	ESSMENT CYC	LE 2011-2015					
Percent of newborns who fail their initial hearing screening who receive appropriate follow up services.							
	Annual Objective and Performance Data						
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		
Annual Performance Objective				_			
Annual Indicator		_					
Numerator		_					
Denominator							
Data Source							
Is the Data Provisional or Final?							
	2010	<u>Anı</u> 2011	nual Objective and P 2012	2013	2014		
Annual Barfannan and Okiastina		2011	2012	2013	2014		
Annual Performance Objective							
Annual Indicator	While you may	enter preliminary of	biectives for State Per	formance Measures	for the Needs Assessment		
	Period 2011-20	15, this is not requi	red until next year.				
Denominator							

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: AZ

Form Level Notes for Form 12

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: AZ

SP(New for Needs Assessment cycle 2011-2015) #

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

The percent of high school students who report having experienced physical violence by a dating partner during the past

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the incidence of dating violence among teenagers (grades 9-12).

The Youth Risk Behavior Survey asks high school students, "During the past 12 months, did your boyfriend or girlfriend **DEFINITION**

ever hit, slap, or physically hurt you on purpose? Total percent of 'yes' responses out of all responses are used to

determine the proportion of students who experienced physical violence by a dating partner.

The weighted number of male and female high school students reporting having been hit, slapped, or physically hurt on

purpose by a boyfriend or girlfriend.

Denominator:

The weighted number of high school students in the Youth Risk Behavior Survey.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 15-34 Reduce the rate of physical assault by current or former intimate partners

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES The Youth Risk Behavior Survey contains the percentage of high school youth who report having been hit slapped, or

physically hurt on purpose by a boyfriend or girlfriend during the past 12 months. The survey results are only available every two years. Due to low sample size, data is not available by gender for some groups at most risk (e.g. Black or

African-American and American Indian).

In Arizona, reported dating violence has increased significantly for high school students from 8.5 percent in 2003 to 12.2 SIGNIFICANCE

percent in 2007. No significant difference has been found between genders, therefore, it is appropriate to include all high school students in this measure. The Arizona Department of Health Services supports programs that attempt to reduce intimate partner violence within high school populations. Preventing violence among teens is an important component of

advancing the state priority of reducing injuries, intentional and unintentional, among all Arizonans.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of high school students who are overweight or obese.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Reduce the percent of high school students who are overweight or obese.

DEFINITION Youth Risk Behavior Survey calculates body mass index from students' self reports of heights and weights, and categorizes

them using CDC criteria as normal, underweight, overweight, and obese.

Numerator:

The weighted number of students classified as either "overweight" or "obese" in the YRBS.

Denominator:

The weighted number of all students in the Youth Risk Behavior Survey.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 19-3 Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who are overweight or obese.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Youth Risk Behavior Survey calculates body mass index from students' self reports of heights and weights, and categorizes

them using CDC criteria as normal, underweight, overweight, and obese.

SIGNIFICANCE

The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey found that there has been an increase in overweight 12-19 year-olds from 5% to 16% over the last 40 years. While maintaining a healthy weight is important for people of all ages, being

overweight during childhood can carry life-long health consequences. The Surgeon General's Call to Action to Prevent and Decrease Overweight and Obesity states: "Risk factors for heart disease, such as high cholesterol and high blood pressure, occur with increased frequency in overweight children and adolescents compared to children with a healthy weight. Type 2 diabetes, previously considered an adult disease, has increased dramatically in children and adolescents. Overweight and obesity are closely linked to type 2 diabetes. Overweight adolescents have a 70% chance of becoming overweight or obese

adults."

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of preventable fetal and infant deaths out of all fetal and infant deaths.

STATUS:

GOAL Reduce infant mortality by reducing the percent of preventable fetal and infant deaths.

Using the CDC Perinatal Periods of Risk model, total excess feto-infant deaths will be identified for one calendar year by **DEFINITION**

comparing death rates of various subgroups to fetal and infant death rates of a reference group (White women age 20 and

older with more than 12 years of education).

Numerator:

Total number of excess deaths in one calendar year as identified by the Periods of Risk analysis.

Denominator:

Total number of fetal and infant deaths.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Reduce the fetal(fetal deaths at 20 or more weeks of gestation) to no more than 4.1 per 1,000 live births, and reduce the

infant mortality rate to no more than 5.0 per 1,000 live births.

Arizona infant and fetal death certificates for one calendar year are matched to birth certificate data using the CDC Perinatal **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

Periods of Risk model. Deaths are classified into periods of risk by weight and gestational age at the time of death, and

excess death rates will be calculated by race/ethnicity, age, and education level.

Although infant mortality in Arizona has declined, disparities remain in the rates of death among various subgroups of the **SIGNIFICANCE** population. African American, American Indian, and Hispanic infants die at higher rates than White non-Hispanic infants, as do infants born to less educated women and teens. While not all infant mortality can be prevented, disparities suggest that

interventions directed at excess mortality within high-risk populations provide an opportunity for further progress. Improving preconception health of women is expected to reduce preventable fetal and infant deaths.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Emergency department visits for unintentional injuries per 100,000 children age 1-14.

STATUS: Activ

SIGNIFICANCE

GOAL To reduce the rate of emergency department visits for unintentional injuries among children age 1-14.

DEFINITIONUnintentional injuries are defined by the CDC as, "Injury that is not inflicted by deliberate means (i.e., not on purpose). This category includes those injuries described as unintended or "accidental", regardless of whether the injury was inflicted by oneself or by another person. Also, includes injury where no indication of intent to harm was documented in the ED record."

Numerator

The number of outpatient emergency department visits for non-fatal unintentional injuries and poisonings for children age 1-

14.

Denominator:

The population of children age 1-14.

Units: 100000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE Injury/violence Prevention Objective 9

Reduce emergency department visits caused by unintentional injuries to no more than 111 per 1,000 people.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES All acute-care hospitals in Arizona, with the exception of federal hospitals (military and Indian Health services), are required

to submit emergency room utilization data to the Arizona Department of Health Services twice a year. The population of children age 1-14 is based on the Arizona Department of Economic Security population projections.

children age 1-14 is based on the Arizona Department of Economic Security population projections.

Injuries, both intentional and unintentional, are among the leading causes of death among children of all ages in Arizona. Unintentional injuries, homicides, and suicides accounted for 40.1 percent of all deaths among children 1-14 and 79.1 percent of deaths among adolescents age 15-19 in 2008. In addition, there were 7,124 inpatient hospitalizations for non-

fatal injuries and poisonings among children and adolescents in 2008.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women having a subsequent pregnancy during the inter-pregnancy interval of 18-59 months.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To increase the percent of women conceiving during the inter-pregnancy interval of 18-59 months.

Interpregnancy interval is measured by the difference in months between last pregnancy end and estimated month of **DEFINITION**

conception for current birth or spontaneous abortion.

The number of women in one calendar year delivering a live birth or spontaneous fetal death within the 18-59 month inter-

pregnancy interval. Primiparous women are not included in the numerator.

The number of women delivering a live birth or spontaneous abortion during the calendar year. Primiparous women are not

included in the denominator.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 9-2 Reduce the proportion of births occurring within 24 months of previous birth.

Arizona birth certificate and fetal death certificate data contain the requisite fields in order to calculate the difference in **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

months between last pregnancy end and estimated date of conception for current live birth or fetal death. Interpregnancy interval is calculated by month rather than weeks. Fetal death certificates do not include all women experiencing a

spontaneous abortion during a calendar year.

SIGNIFICANCE

Birth spacing influences birth outcomes. The proposed Healthy People 2020 Goal is an inter-pregnancy interval of at least 18 months. However, there is increasing evidence that risk for low and very low birth weight, small for gestational age, and preterm birth also increases significantly at 60 or more months beyond last pregnancy. Birth spacing and preventing unplanned pregnancies are critical strategies for addressing the state priority of improving preconception health.

SP(New for Needs Assessment cycle 2011-2015) # 6 **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of Medicaid enrollees age 1-14 who received at least one preventive dental service within the last year. STATUS: Active GOAL To increase the percent of high-risk children who receive preventive dental services each year. Preventative dental services are based on the dental codes specific to preventive services D1000-D1550. These services **DEFINITION** cover prophylaxis, topical fluoride applications, nutritional counseling, oral hygiene instructions and dental sealants. From the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS) EPSDT Report, line 12B, "Total eligibles receiving preventive dental services," sum of columns "1-2," "3-5," "6-9," "10-14," Line 1, "Total individuals eligible for EPSDT," sum of columns "1-2," "3-5," "6-9," "10-14," Units: 100 Text: Percent **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 21-12 Increase the proportion of low-income children and adolescents who received any preventive den AHCCCS produces an annual "Form HCFA-416: Annual EPSDT Participation Report for Funding Source: Title XIX" **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** containing dental utilization data, including information on preventive dental services. U. S. Surgeon General David Satcher deemed dental disease the "silent epidemic," yet, it is preventable with early **SIGNIFICANCE** intervention and the promotion of evidence-based prevention efforts like dental sealants. In an effort to improve health and well being it is imperative that we target interventions at preventing dental disease, especially in high-risk children. Although children enrolled in Medicaid are fully covered for dental services, they often fail to receive preventive dental care. The

services for children.

perfomance measure serves as an indicator for two state priority measures; improving oral health and preventative health

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women age 18 years and older who suffer from frequent mental distress.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To decrease the incidence of frequent mental distress among adult women.

As defined by the CDC Health Related Quality of Life Measures, frequent mental distress is having 14 or more mentally **DEFINITION**

unhealthy days in the past month.

The weighted number of women age 18 and over having 14 or more mentally unhealthy days in the past month.

Denominator:

The weighted number of women responding to question about the number of mentally unhealthy days in the past month.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Annually the Arizona Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) includes the question necessary to calculate the **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

indicator of frequent mental distress. Adequate sample size is often too low for annual stratification by race/ethnicity and

other groups of interest.

The life course model for maternal and child health recognizes that chronic mental distress has a weathering effect on the **SIGNIFICANCE**

reproductive health of women. The link between mental health and short and long term physical health is well established. From 2004-2008 Arizona women 18 years and older were significantly more likely to suffer from frequent mental distress (10.9 percent +/- 1.0) than men (7.8 percent +/- 1.1).

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of newborns who fail their initial hearing screening who receive appropriate follow up services.

STATUS: Active

90% of newborns who fail their inital hearing screen will receive followup services through rescreening, diagnosis and GOAL

treatment by the year 2013.

DEFINITION The percent of newborns who fail their initial hearing screening who receive appropriate follow up services.

Number of infants who are followed up through diagnosis and treatment.

Denominator:

Numer of newborns who fail their initial hearing screen and are identified as requiring follow up.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 28-11

Increase the proportion of newborns who are screened for hearing loss by age 1 month, have audiologic evaluation by age

3 months, and are enrolled in appropriate intervention services by age 6 months.

State-based Early Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI) Program Network, CDC, and Arizona Department of Health **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

Service Newborn Hearing Screening Database, which is maintained at the state lab.

Currently in Arizona more than 20% of those that do not pass the initial screen do not receive a second screen or diagnostic **SIGNIFICANCE** evaluation. These children are at significant risk for hearing loss. Undetected hearing loss results in children who will have significant speech and language delays as well as impact on their social and emotional development. It has been shown that these delays are often not resolved resulting in children who have significantly lower reading abilities and reduced

opportunities as adults. Research has shown that those children who are identified early and enrolled in appropriate early

intervention services have speech and language skills within the normal range.